arXiv:funct-an/9702015v1 17 Feb 1997

SIMPLICITY AND THE STABLE RANK OF SOME FREE PRODUCT C*–ALGEBRAS

Kenneth J. Dykema

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
Odense Universitet, Campusvej 55
DK-5230 Odense M
Denmark

1:06 o'clock, 7 February 2008

Abstract. A necessary and sufficient condition for the simplicity of the C*–algebra reduced free product of finite dimensional abelian algebras is found, and it is proved that the stable rank of every such free product is 1. Related results about other reduced free products of C*–algebras are proved.

Introduction.

The reduced free product of C*–algebras with respect to given states was introduced independently by Voiculescu [**19**] and Avitzour [**2**]. It is the appropriate construction associated to Voiculescu's free probability theory, (see [**19**], [**21**]). The motivating example concerns reduced group C*–algebras. For a discrete group G, its reduced group C*–algebra is generated by the left regular representation of G on $l^2(G)$ and is denoted $C_r^*(G)$. Its canonical tracial state (which is the vector state associated to the characteristic function of the identity element of G) is written τ_G. Then for discrete groups G_1 and G_2, the reduced free product construction yields

$$(C_r^*(G_1),\tau_{G_1})*(C_r^*(G_2),\tau_{G_2})=(C_r^*(G),\tau_G),$$

where $G=G_1*G_2$ is the free product of groups.

Voiculescu's definition of freeness is an abstraction of some essential facets of the relationship between the copies of $C_r^*(G_1)$ and $C_r^*(G_2)$ embedded in $C_r^*(G)$, with respect to the trace τ_G. The reduced free product of C*–algebras can be described with respect to freeness

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46L05, 46L35.

Typeset by $\mathcal{AMS}$-TEX

as follows. Let A_1 and A_2 be unital C*–algebras with states ϕ_1 and respectively ϕ_2, whose associated GNS representations are faithful. Then the reduced free product of (A_1, ϕ_1) and (A_2, ϕ_2) is the (unique) unital C*–algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ and state ϕ with unital embeddings $A_\iota \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ such that

1. the GNS representation associated to ϕ is faithful on $\mathfrak{A}$;
2. $\phi|_{A_\iota} = \phi_\iota$;
3. A_1 and A_2 are free with respect to ϕ;
4. $\mathfrak{A}$ is generated by $A_1 \cup A_2$.

We denote this by

$$(\mathfrak{A}, \phi) = (A_1, \phi_1) * (A_2, \phi_2). \tag{1}$$

It is further known [**19**] (or see [**21**, 2.5.3]) that ϕ is a trace if ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are traces. Moreover, by [**7**], ϕ is also faithful on $\mathfrak{A}$ if ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are faithful.

The reduced free product thus provides a multitude of constructions of C*–algebras, about which some results are known (see [**19**], [**2**], [**11**], [**10**], [**9**]). For example, many can be distinguished one from the other using K–theory, (see [**11**] and [**12**]). However, questions abound.

Perhaps the most basic question concerns simplicity of reduced free product C*–algebras. In [**15**], R.T. Powers showed that the reduced group C*–algebra of the free group on two generators, $C_r^*(F_2)$, is simple and has unique tracial state. Paschke and Salinas [**14**] then proved the same for $C_r^*(G)$ whenever $G = G_1 * G_2$ is the free product of groups, where G_1 has at least two elements and G_2 has at least three. Avitzour [**2**] generalized further and showed that, for the reduced free product (1), $\mathfrak{A}$ is simple if there are unitaries $u, v \in A_1$ and $w \in A_2$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1(u) = \phi_1(v) = 0 = \phi_1(u^* v), &\qquad \phi_1(u^* \cdot u) = \phi_1, \\ \phi_2(w) = 0, &\qquad \phi_2(w^* \cdot w) = \phi_2. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

(Actually, Avitzour required also ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 to be faithful, but this hypothesis is easily dispensed with.)

Avitzour's conditions imply simplicity of many reduced free product C*–algebras, but there are plenty of cases where Avitzour's conditions are not satisfied (see §4 of [**9**]), yet intuition (or the analogous result for von Neumann algebras, see [**5**]) suggests the algebra is simple. In this paper we give necessary and sufficient conditions for simplicity of the reduced free product of arbitrary finite dimensional abelian C*–algebras. Stated briefly, if

$$(\mathfrak{A}, \tau) = (A, \tau_A) * (B, \tau_B), \tag{3}$$

where A and B are finite dimensional abelian C*–algebras satisfying $\dim(A) \geq 3$ and $\dim(B) \geq 2$ and with faithful tracial states, τ_A and τ_B, then $\mathfrak{A}$ is simple if and only if whenever p is a minimal projection of A and q is a minimal projection of B, we have

$$\tau_A(p) + \tau_B(q) < 1. \tag{4}$$

The necessity of this condition can be seen from [**1**]. Note that the condition from [**5**] for the analogous free product of von Neumann algebras to be a factor is (4) but with the strict inequality replaced by $\leq$. In addition, when the free product algebra, $\mathfrak{A}$, from (3) is not simple, our analysis allows one to easily find all ideals of $\mathfrak{A}$.

We also show that for every reduced free product C*–algebra, $\mathfrak{A}$, as in (3), the stable rank of $\mathfrak{A}$ is 1, regardless of the simplicity of $\mathfrak{A}$. The (topological) stable rank was invented by M.A. Rieffel in [**17**] as a sort of dimension for C*–algebras, and was in [**13**] shown to be equal to the Bass stable rank. The first result about the stable rank of reduced free product C*–algebras is in [**9**], where it is proved that the free product with respect to traces of C*–algebras A_1 and A_2 has stable rank 1 if the Avitzour conditions (2) are satisfied. Hence the present paper's results regarding stable rank are, for a restricted class of C*–algebra reduced free products, a considerable generalization and lend support to the plausible conjecture that every reduced free product of C*–algebras with respect to faithful, tracial states has stable rank 1.

In §1 we state the main results proved in the paper. In §2 concepts and results essential for the sequel are covered, including some dealing with stable rank, full hereditary subalgebras and free products. In §3 we prove simplicity and stable rank 1 for free products of two C*–algebras, when one is diffuse in a specific sense. In §4 the results about the free product of finite dimensional abelian algebras are proved, as well as some related results about free products of more general algebras. In §5 free products of abelian C*–algebras with states that are inductive limits of the algebras considered in §4 are proved. In §6 we consider free products of infinitely many finite dimensional abelian C*–algebras. Finally, in §7 we make two conjectures about simplicity of other free product C*–algebras.

§1. Statement of the main results.

In this section, we state the main results in more detail. Let

$$\begin{aligned}(A,\tau_A)&=\underset{\alpha_1}{\overset{p_1}{\mathbf{C}}}\oplus\underset{\alpha_2}{\overset{p_2}{\mathbf{C}}}\oplus\cdots\oplus\underset{\alpha_n}{\overset{p_n}{\mathbf{C}}}\\(B,\tau_B)&=\underset{\beta_1}{\overset{q_1}{\mathbf{C}}}\oplus\underset{\beta_2}{\overset{q_2}{\mathbf{C}}}\oplus\cdots\oplus\underset{\beta_m}{\overset{q_m}{\mathbf{C}}}.\end{aligned}\tag{5}$$

This notation means that $n\in\mathbf{N}$, that

$$A=\underbrace{\mathbf{C}\oplus\cdots\oplus\mathbf{C}}_{n\text{ times}},$$

that p_k is the projection

$$p_k=\underbrace{0\oplus\cdots\oplus0}_{k-1}\oplus1\oplus\underbrace{0\oplus\cdots\oplus0}_{n-k},$$

and that τ_A is the state on A given by $\tau_A(p_k)=\alpha_k$. We thus need $\alpha_k\geq0$ and $\sum_1^n\alpha_k=1$, and because we want the GNS representation of τ_A to be faithful we will always take $\alpha_k>0$. The same considerations apply to (B,τ_B) in (5).

Theorem 1. *Let (A,τ_A) and (B,τ_B) be finite dimensional, abelian C^*–algebras with faithful states as in (5), with $\dim(A)\geq3$ and $\dim(B)\geq2$. Let*

$$(\mathfrak{A},\tau)=(A,\tau_A)*(B,\tau_B)$$

be the reduced free product of C^*–algebras. Let

$$\begin{aligned}L_+&=\{(i,j)\mid\alpha_i+\beta_j>1\}\\L_0&=\{(i,j)\mid\alpha_i+\beta_j=1\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then the stable rank of $\mathfrak{A}$ is 1 and

$$\mathfrak{A}=\overset{r_0}{\mathfrak{A}_0}\oplus\bigoplus_{(i,j)\in L_+}\underset{\alpha_i+\beta_j-1}{\overset{p_i\wedge q_j}{\mathbf{C}}},\tag{6}$$

where $p_i\wedge q_j=\lim_{n\to\infty}(p_iq_j)^n$. If L_0 is empty then $\mathfrak{A}_0$ is a simple C^*–algebra. Otherwise, for every $(i,j)\in L_0$, although s.o.–$\lim_{n\to\infty}(p_iq_j)^n=0$, *there is a unital $*$–homomorphism $\pi_{(i,j)}:\mathfrak{A}_0\to\mathbf{C}$ such that $\pi_{(i,j)}(r_0p_i)=1=\pi_{(i,j)}(r_0q_j)$ and*

$$\mathfrak{A}_{00}\overset{\text{def}}{=}\bigcap_{(i,j)\in L_0}\ker\pi_{(i,j)}$$

is simple, nonunital and with unique tracial state $\phi(r_0)^{-1}\phi|_{\mathfrak{A}_{00}}$.

The notation in (6) means that $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}_0$ if L_+ is empty and otherwise

$$\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}_0 \oplus \underbrace{\mathbf{C} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbf{C}}_{|L_+| \text{ times}}.$$

In addition, for each $(i,j) \in L_+$ the corresponding central summand is $\mathbf{C}(p_i \wedge q_j)$ and $\tau(p_i \wedge q_j) = \alpha_i + \beta_j - 1$. The assertion that s.o.–$\lim_{n\to\infty}(p_iq_j)^n = 0$ when $\alpha_i + \beta_j = 1$ refers to the strong–operator limit in the GNS representation of $\mathfrak{A}$ with respect to τ. Finally, $r_0 = 1 - \sum_{(i,j)\in L_+} p_i \wedge q_j$ is the projection which is the unit of $\mathfrak{A}_0 \oplus 0 \oplus \cdots \oplus 0$.

Analogous results hold for free products of more than two finite dimensional abelian C*–algebras and for free products of direct sums of other abelian C*–algebras, (see Propositions 4.8, 4.9 and 5.3).

The following result is used in the proof of Theorem 1 and is also of independent interest.

Theorem 2. *Let A and B be unital C*–algebras with states ϕ_A, respectively ϕ_B, whose GNS representations are faithful. Let*

$$(\mathfrak{A}, \phi) = (A, \phi_A) * (B, \phi_B).$$

Suppose $B \neq \mathbf{C}$ and the centralizer of ϕ_A has an abelian subalgebra $D \cong C(X)$ such that the restriction of ϕ_A to D is given by an atomless measure on X. Then $\mathfrak{A}$ is simple. If ϕ_A and ϕ_B are traces then $\mathfrak{A}$ has stable rank 1 and ϕ is the unique tracial state on $\mathfrak{A}$. If one of ϕ_A and ϕ_B is not a trace then $\mathfrak{A}$ has no tracial states.

§2. Preliminaries.

Definition 2.1. Let A be a unital C*–algebra and ϕ a state on A. Let $B \cong C(X)$ be an abelian C*–subalgebra of A containing the unit of A. We say that ϕ is *diffuse* on B if $\phi|_B$ is given by a measure on X having no atoms. It will usually be clear from the context which state we mean, and then we will speak simply of B being a *diffuse abelian subalgebra* of A.

Given a C*–algebra with state (A, ϕ), a *Haar unitary* (with respect to ϕ) is a unitary element, $u \in A$, such that $\phi(u^n) = 0$ for every nonzero integer n.

Proposition 2.2 [**9**, 4.1(i)]**.** *Let B be a unital, abelian C*–algebra with state ϕ. Then ϕ is diffuse on B if and only if B contains a Haar unitary (with respect to ϕ).*

Recall that the *centralizer* of the state ϕ is $\{a \in A \mid \forall x \in A\ \phi(ax) = \phi(xa)\}$. We will often be interested in the situation when the centralizer of ϕ contains a Haar unitary.

An *ideal* of a C*–algebra always means a closed, two–sided ideal.

For unital C*–algebras $A_1, A_2, \dots , A_n$, it is an obvious fact that $A_1 \oplus A_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_n$ has stable rank 1 if and only if for each j A_j has stable rank 1. In addition, we will make use of the following results, due to Rieffel.

Proposition 2.3 [**17**, 3.3]**.** *Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and let A be a C*–algebra. Then A has stable rank 1 if and only if $A \otimes M_n(\mathbf{C})$ has stable rank 1.*

The following result follows from [**17**, 4.4 and 4.11] together with the fact that in a finite dimensional C*–algebra B, the left invertible elements are invertible, hence the connected stable rank of B is one.

Proposition 2.4. *Let A be a C*–algebra with an ideal, J, such that A/J is finite dimensional. Then A has stable rank 1 if and only if J has stable rank 1.*

Recall that a hereditary C*–subalgebra, B, of a C*–algebra, A, is said to be *full* if there is no closed, proper, two–sided ideal of A containing B.

Proposition 2.5. *Let A be a C*–algebra with countable approximate identity. Take $h \in A$, $h \geq 0$ and let B be the hereditary subalgebra, $\overline{hAh}$, of A. Suppose that B is full in A. Then*

(i) *A has stable rank 1 if and only if B has stable rank 1.*
(ii) *If B has unique tracial state then A has at most one tracial state.*

Proof. For (i), note that B has a countable approximate identity for itself because h is strictly positive in B, (see [**3**, p. 327]). Thus, by [**4**], A and B are stably isomorphic, i.e. $A\otimes\mathcal{K} \cong B\otimes\mathcal{K}$, where $\mathcal{K}$ is the algebra of compact operators on separable Hilbert space. But [**17**, 3.6] states that

$$\mathrm{sr}(A) = 1 \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad \mathrm{sr}(A \otimes \mathcal{K}) = 1$$

and similarly for B, hence

$$\mathrm{sr}(A) = 1 \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad \mathrm{sr}(B) = 1.$$

To see (ii), note that $\mathrm{span}\{xhahy \mid a, x, y \in A\}$ is dense in A. If τ is a tracial state on A then $\tau(xhahy) = \tau(h^{1/2}ahyxh^{1/2})$ and $h^{1/2}ahyxh^{1/2} \in B$, so τ is determined by $\tau|_B$.

□

We will say that a positive element, $h \in A$ is *full* if the hereditary subalgebra $\overline{hAh}$ is full in A. The following fact is easy to show.

Proposition 2.6. *Let A be a C^*–algebra and let B be a full hereditary C^*–subalgebra of A. Then A is simple if and only if B is simple.*

In fact, (see [**16**]), it is well–known that the representation theories of a C*–algebra A and its hereditary C*–subalgebra B are equivalent.

The reduced free product of two two–dimensional C*–algebras is the most transparent nontrivial free product one can consider. It is understood completely and described in the proposition below. This description is the starting point for our investigation into reduced free products of more general finite dimensional abelian algebras.

Proposition 2.7. *Let $1 > \alpha \geq \beta \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and let*

$$(\mathfrak{A},\tau) = (\underset{\alpha}{\overset{p}{\mathbf{C}}} \oplus \underset{1-\alpha}{\overset{1-p}{\mathbf{C}}}) * (\underset{\beta}{\overset{q}{\mathbf{C}}} \oplus \underset{1-\beta}{\overset{1-q}{\mathbf{C}}}).$$

If $\alpha > \beta$ then

$$\mathfrak{A} = \underset{\alpha-\beta}{\overset{p\wedge(1-q)}{\mathbf{C}}} \oplus C([a,b],M_2(\mathbf{C})) \oplus \underset{\alpha+\beta-1}{\overset{p\wedge q}{\mathbf{C}}},$$

for some $0 < a < b < 1$. Furthermore, in the above picture

$$p = 1 \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus 1$$
$$q = 0 \oplus \begin{pmatrix} t & \sqrt{t(1-t)} \\ \sqrt{t(1-t)} & 1-t \end{pmatrix} \oplus 1$$

and the faithful trace τ is given by the indicated weights on the projections $p\wedge(1-q)$ and $p\wedge q$, together with an atomless measure whose support is $[a,b]$.

If $\alpha = \beta > \frac{1}{2}$ then

$$\mathfrak{A} = \{f : [0,b] \to M_2(\mathbf{C}) \mid f \text{ continuous and } f(0) \text{ diagonal }\} \oplus \underset{\alpha+\beta-1}{\overset{p\wedge q}{\mathbf{C}}},$$

for some $0 < b < 1$. Furthermore, in the above picture

$$p = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus 1$$
$$q = \begin{pmatrix} t & \sqrt{t(1-t)} \\ \sqrt{t(1-t)} & 1-t \end{pmatrix} \oplus 1$$

and the faithful trace τ is given by the indicated weight on the projection $p \wedge q$, together with an atomless measure on $[0,b]$.

If $\alpha = \beta = \frac{1}{2}$ then

$$\mathfrak{A} = \{f : [0,1] \to M_2(\mathbf{C}) \mid f \text{ continuous and } f(0) \text{ and } f(1) \text{ diagonal }\}.$$

Furthermore, in the above picture

$$p = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$$
$$q = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} t & \sqrt{t(1-t)} \\ \sqrt{t(1-t)} & 1-t \end{smallmatrix}\right)$$

and the faithful trace τ is given by an atomless measure whose support is $[0,1]$.

Proof. Once the traces of p and q are known, the C*–algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ and the trace τ are determined by τ composed with the functional calculus of pqp. This, in turn, is computed using Voiculescu's multiplicative free convolution [**20**]. To see this proof in more detail, see [**8**] or the proof of the analogous result for von Neumann algebras in [**5**, 1.1].

□

The following proposition is a variation on Theorem 1.2 of [**5**] and is proved similarly.

Proposition 2.8. *Let $A = A_1 \oplus A_2$ be a direct sum of unital C*–algebras, write $p = 1 \oplus 0 \in A$ and let ϕ_A be a state on A, such that $0 < \alpha \overset{\text{def}}{=} \phi_A(p) < 1$. Let B be a unital C*–algebra with state ϕ_B and let $(\mathfrak{A}, \phi) = (A, \phi_A) * (B, \phi_B)$. Let $\mathfrak{A}_1$ be the C*–subalgebra of $\mathfrak{A}$ generated by $(0 \oplus A_2) + \mathbf{C}p \subseteq A$ together with B. We abbreviate this by writing*

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathfrak{A}, \phi) &= (\underset{\alpha}{\overset{p}{A_1}} \oplus \underset{1-\alpha}{\overset{1-p}{A_2}}) * (B, \phi_B) \\ &\cup \\ (\mathfrak{A}_1, \phi|_{\mathfrak{A}_1}) &= (\underset{\alpha}{\overset{p}{\mathbf{C}}} \oplus \underset{1-\alpha}{\overset{1-p}{A_2}}) * (B, \phi_B). \end{aligned}$$

Then $p\mathfrak{A}p$ is generated by $p\mathfrak{A}_1p$ and $A_1 \oplus 0 \subseteq A$, which are free in $(p\mathfrak{A}p, \frac{1}{\alpha}\phi|_{p\mathfrak{A}p})$.

The next elementary lemma will come in handy.

Lemma 2.9. *Let B be a unital C*–algebra and ϕ a state on B whose GNS representation is faithful. If $|\phi(u)| = 1$ for every unitary, $u \in B$, then $B = \mathbf{C}$.*

Proof. Let the defining embedding $B \hookrightarrow L^2(B, \phi)$ be denoted $b \mapsto \hat{b}$. Let $\mathcal{U}(B)$ denote the unitary group of B. Whenever $u \in \mathcal{U}(B)$ then $||\hat{u}|| = 1$ but also $|\langle \hat{u}, 1\rangle| = 1$, so $\hat{u} = \alpha\hat{1}$, some $\alpha \in \mathbf{T}$. But $L^2(B, \phi) = \overline{\text{span}}\{\hat{u} \mid u \in \mathcal{U}(B)\}$, so $L^2(B, \phi)$ is one–dimensional. This implies $B = \mathbf{C}$.

□

§3. When in the presence of one spread thin.

Let A and B be unital C*–algebras with states, ϕ_A and ϕ_B, respectively, whose GNS representations are faithful and let

$$(\mathfrak{A},\phi)=(A,\phi_A)*(B,\phi_B).$$

In this section we will prove that if the centralizer of ϕ_A contains a diffuse abelian subalgebra then $\mathfrak{A}$ is simple and if, furthermore, ϕ_A and ϕ_B are traces then $\mathfrak{A}$ has stable rank 1. The diffuse abelian subalgebra is, if you like, "one spread thin." By [**9**, 4.1(i)], (see Proposition 2.2 above) this condition is equivalent to the centralizer of ϕ_A containing a Haar unitary, u.

Denote by $\mathfrak{A}_0$ the norm dense $*$–subalgebra of $\mathfrak{A}$ that is generated by $A\cup B$. Then using the standard notation $A^{\text{o}}=\ker\phi_A$ and $B^{\text{o}}=\ker\phi_B$, every element, x, of $\mathfrak{A}_0$ can be written $x=x_0+x_1$ where $x_0\in A$ and

$$x_1=\sum_{j=1}^{N}a_o^{(j)}b_1^{(j)}a_1^{(j)}b_2^{(j)}a_2^{(j)}\cdots b_{n(j)}^{(j)}a_{n(j)}^{(j)} \tag{7}$$

with $N\in\mathbf{N}$, $n(j)\in\mathbf{N}$, $a_0^{(j)},a_{n(j)}^{(j)}\in A$, $a_1^{(j)},\dots,a_{n(j)-1}^{(j)}\in A^{\text{o}}$, $b_1^{(j)},\dots,b_{n(j)}^{(j)}\in B^{\text{o}}$. Expressed another way,

$$x_1\in\operatorname{span}\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}A\underbrace{B^{\text{o}}A^{\text{o}}\cdots B^{\text{o}}A^{\text{o}}B^{\text{o}}}_{n\text{ times }B^{\text{o}}}A\right).$$

We begin with a technical lemma. Let u be a Haar unitary in the centralizer of $\phi|_A$ and write

$$u^{-\mathbf{N}}\overset{\text{def}}{=}\{u^{-k}\mid k\in\mathbf{N}\}$$
$$u^{\mathbf{N}}\overset{\text{def}}{=}\{u^{k}\mid k\in\mathbf{N}\}.$$

Lemma 3.1. *With notation as above, suppose that $B\neq\mathbf{C}$ and the centralizer of ϕ_A contains a Haar unitary, u. Given $\epsilon>0$ and $x\in\mathfrak{A}$ such that $\phi(x)=0$, there is a unitary, $z\in\mathfrak{A}$ such that z^*xz differs in norm by no more than ϵ from a finite linear combination of elements of*

$$\Theta\overset{\text{def}}{=}\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}u^{-\mathbf{N}}\underbrace{B^{\text{o}}A^{\text{o}}\cdots B^{\text{o}}A^{\text{o}}B^{\text{o}}}_{n\text{ times }B^{\text{o}}}u^{\mathbf{N}}. \tag{8}$$

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{A}_0$ is dense in $\mathfrak{A}$, we may assume without loss of generality that $x\in\mathfrak{A}_0$. By Lemma 2.9 there is a unitary element, $v\in B$, such that $0\le\phi_B(v)<1$. Let $c_0=\phi_B(v)$,

$c_1 = \sqrt{1-c_0^2}$ and $y = (v - c_0 1)/c_1$, so that 1 and y are orthonormal in $L^2(B, \phi_B)$. Let $n, k \in \mathbf{N}$ and let $z = (u^k v)^n u^k$. Write $x = x_0 + x_1$ with $x_0 \in A$ and x_1 as in (7).

We first concern ourselves with $z^* x_1 z$. Writing x_1 as in (7), let $\eta > 0$. Since $(u^p)_{p \in \mathbf{Z}}$ is an orthonormal family in $L^2(A, \phi_A)$, we have

$$\forall a \in A \qquad \lim_{p\to\infty} \phi_A(au^p) = 0 = \lim_{p\to\infty} \phi_A(au^{-p}). \tag{9}$$

Using (9) we see that if k is large enough then for every positive integer p and every j, $|\phi_A(u^{-pk} a_0^{(j)})| < \eta$ and $|\phi_A(a_{n(j)}^{(j)} u^{pk})| < \eta$. Since $v = c_0 1 + c_1 y$, we have

$$a_{n(j)}^{(j)} z = \sum_{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n \in \{0,1\}} c_{\delta_1} \cdots c_{\delta_n} a_{n(j)}^{(j)} u^k y^{\delta_1} \cdots u^k y^{\delta_n} u^k,$$

where

$$y^{-\delta} = \begin{cases} y^* & \text{if } \delta = 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } \delta = 0 \end{cases}$$
$$y^{\delta} = \begin{cases} y & \text{if } \delta = 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } \delta = 0. \end{cases}$$

If not all the δ_j are zero than $a_{n(j)}^{(j)} u^k y^{\delta_1} \cdots u^k y^{\delta_n} u^k$ differs in norm by at most $\eta ||y||^m$ (where m is the number of $1 \le j \le n$ for which $\delta_j = 1$) from an element of

$$\underbrace{A^{\mathrm{o}} y A^{\mathrm{o}} y \cdots A^{\mathrm{o}} y}_{m \text{ times } A^{\mathrm{o}} y} u^{\mathbf{N}}.$$

Since $||y|| < (1+c_0)/c_1$, we obtain that $a_{n(j)}^{(j)} z$ differs in norm by at most $c_0^n ||a_{n(j)}^{(j)}|| + (1+2c_0)^n \eta$ from an element of

$$\operatorname{span}\left(\bigcup_{m=1}^{n} \underbrace{A^{\mathrm{o}} y A^{\mathrm{o}} y \cdots A^{\mathrm{o}} y}_{m \text{ times } A^{\mathrm{o}} y} u^{\mathbf{N}} \right).$$

Similarly, $z^* a_0^{(j)}$ differs in norm by at most $c_0^n ||a_0^{(j)}|| + (1+2c_0)^n \eta$ from an element of

$$\operatorname{span}\left(\bigcup_{m=1}^{n} u^{-\mathbf{N}} \underbrace{y^* A^{\mathrm{o}} y^* A^{\mathrm{o}} \cdots y^* A^{\mathrm{o}}}_{m \text{ times } y^* A^{\mathrm{o}}} \right).$$

Therefore $z^* x_1 z$ dffers in norm by no more than

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} \left(c_0^n ||a_0^{(j)}|| + (1+2c_0)^n \eta \right) ||b_1^{(j)} a_1^{(j)} b_2^{(j)} a_2^{(j)} \cdots b_{n(j)}^{(j)}|| \left(c_0^n ||a_{n(j)}^{(j)}|| + (1+2c_0)^n \eta \right)$$

from a finite linear combination of elements from Θ. Thus, if n is chosen large enough and then k is chosen large enough, then z^*x_1z can be made arbitrarily close to a finite linear combination of elements from Θ.

We now examine z^*x_0z. Using again $v = c_0 1 + c_1 y$ we have

$$z^*x_0z = \sum_{\delta_1,\dots,\delta_{2n}\in\{0,1\}} c_{\delta_1}\cdots c_{\delta_{2n}} u^{-k}y^{-\delta_1}u^{-k}\cdots y^{-\delta_n}u^{-k}x_0u^ky^{\delta_{n+1}}\cdots u^ky^{\delta_{2n}}u^k. \tag{10}$$

We first concentrate on the $2^{n+1}-1$ terms when either $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = \cdots = \delta_n = 0$ or $\delta_{n+1} = \delta_{n+2} = \cdots = \delta_{2n} = 0$. The sum over these terms is equal to

$$c_0^n(u^{-(n+1)k}x_0z + z^*x_0u^{(n+1)k} - c_0^n u^{-(n+1)k}x_0u^{(n+1)k}),$$

which has norm no greater than $c_0^n||x_0||(2+c_0^n)$. This can be made arbitrarily small by choosing n large enough (independently of k). Each of the remaining $2^{2n}-2^{n+1}+1$ terms of (10) is of the form

$$c_0^lc_1^{l'}u^{-r_pk}y^*\cdots u^{-r_2k}y^*u^{-r_1k}y^*u^{-r_0k}x_0u^{s_0k}yu^{s_1k}yu^{s_2k}\cdots yu^{s_qk}, \tag{11}$$

where l', p, q, r_j, s_j are positive integers and $l \geq 0$. Clearly

$$\phi(u^{-r_0k}x_0u^{s_0k}) = \phi(x_0u^{(s_0-r_0)k}). \tag{12}$$

If $r_0 = s_0$ then $\phi(u^{-r_0k}x_0u^{s_0k}) = \phi(x_0) = 0$ and hence the term (11) is an element of Θ. Using (9) we see that by choosing k large enough, each quantity (12) can be made arbitrarily small and hence each of the terms (11) can be made arbitrarily close to an element of Θ. Thus if n is chosen large enough and then k is chosen large enough, then z^*x_0z can be made arbitrarily close to a finite linear combination of elements from Θ.

Considering the above analyses for z^*x_1z and z^*x_0z at the same time, we can choose n large enough and then k large enough so that z^*xz is arbitrarily close to a finite linear combination of elements from Θ.

□

Proposition 3.2. *Let A and B be unital C^*–algebras with states, ϕ_A and ϕ_B, respectively, whose GNS representations are faithful. Let*

$$(\mathfrak{A}, \phi) = (A, \phi_A) * (B, \phi_B).$$

Suppose the centralizer of ϕ_A has diffuse abelian subalgebra and $B \neq \mathbf{C}$. Then for every $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $\epsilon > 0$ there are $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and unitaries $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that

$$||\phi(x)1 - \frac{1}{n}\sum_{r=1}^{n} z_r^*xz_r|| < \epsilon. \tag{13}$$

Consequently, $\mathfrak{A}$ is simple. Moreover, if both ϕ_A and ϕ_B are traces then ϕ is the unique tracial state on $\mathfrak{A}$. If one or both of ϕ_A and ϕ_B is not a trace then $\mathfrak{A}$ has no tracial states.

Proof. To prove the existence of z_r such that (13) holds, we may without loss of generality assume that $x = x^*$ and $\phi(x) = 0$, and we may replace x by a unitary conjugate of itself. Let u be a Haar unitary in the centralizer of ϕ_A Employing Lemma 3.1, we may assume that $x \in \operatorname{span}\Theta$, (see (8)). Now we will find $z_1, \ldots, z_5 \in u^{\mathbf{N}}$ such that

$$||\frac{1}{5}\sum_{r=1}^{5} z_r^* x z_r|| \leq \frac{49}{50}||x||. \tag{14}$$

These will be found using the technique of [**15**]. With notation similar to (7), we have

$$x = \sum_{j=1}^{N} u^{-l_j} b_1^{(j)} a_1^{(j)} \cdots b_{n(j)-1}^{(j)} a_{n(j)-1}^{(j)} b_{n(j)}^{(j)} u^{m_j},$$

for $l_j, m_j \in \mathbf{N}$. Let $K = \max(\bigcup_{j=1}^{N}\{l_j, m_j\}) + 1$. For $1 \leq r \leq 5$, let $z_r = u^{rK}$. Let $\mathfrak{M}_r$ be the closed subspace of $L^2(\mathfrak{A}, \phi)$ spanned by all words of the form $u^{-k} b_1 a_1 \cdots b_n a_n$ with $k \in \mathbf{N}$, $(r-1)K < k \leq rK$, $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$, $b_1, \ldots, b_n \in B^{\circ}$, $a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1} \in A^{\circ}$ and $a_n \in A$. Clearly $p \neq q$ implies $\mathfrak{M}_p \perp \mathfrak{M}_q$. Since $z_r^* x z_r$ is a finite sum of words whose left–most letter lies in $\{u^{-k} \mid (r-1)K < k \leq rK\}$ and whose right–most letter lies in $\{u^k \mid (r-1)K < k \leq rK\}$, we have

$$z_r^* x z_r(\mathfrak{M}_r^{\perp}) \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_r.$$

Denote by E_r the projection from $L^2(\mathfrak{A}, \phi)$ onto $\mathfrak{M}_r$. Given a unit vector, $\xi \in L^2(\mathfrak{A}, \phi)$, there is some $1 \leq p \leq 5$ for which $||E_p \xi||^2 \leq \frac{1}{5}$. Thus

$$|\langle \frac{1}{5}\sum_{r=1}^{5} z_r^* x z_r \xi, \xi\rangle| \leq \frac{4}{5}||x|| + \frac{1}{5}|\langle z_p^* x z_p \xi, \xi\rangle|$$

and since $(1 - E_p) z_p^* x z_p (1 - E_p) = 0$ we have

$$|\langle z_p^* x z_p \xi, \xi\rangle| = |\langle z_p^* x z_p E_p \xi, \xi\rangle| + |\langle z_p^* x z_p (1 - E_p)\xi, E_p \xi\rangle| \leq 2||x||\,||E_p \xi|| \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}||x||.$$

Hence

$$|\langle \frac{1}{5}\sum_{r=1}^{5} z_r^* x z_r \xi, \xi\rangle| \leq \left(\frac{4}{5} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}\right)||x|| < \frac{49}{50}||x||.$$

This implies (14).

To finish the proof of (13), note that the element $\frac{1}{5}\sum_{r=1}^{5} z_r^* x z_r$ obtained above is again in $\operatorname{span}\Theta$. Hence, by repeating this process as many times as necessary, for any $\epsilon > 0$ there are $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $z_1, \ldots, z_n \in u^{\mathbf{N}}$ such that $||\frac{1}{n}\sum_{r=1}^{n} z_r^* x z_r|| < \epsilon$.

Now the remaining facts follow by standard arguments of [**15**] and [**2**]. Indeed, suppose $\mathcal{I}$ is a nonzero, two–sided, closed ideal of $\mathfrak{A}$. Let $a \in \mathcal{I}\backslash\{0\}$. Since the GNS representation of $\mathfrak{A}$ associated to ϕ is faithful, there must be $b \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that $\phi(b^*a^*ab) \neq 0$. Then from (13), it follows that $\phi(b^*a^*ab)1 \in \mathcal{I}$, hence $\mathcal{I} = \mathfrak{A}$ and consequently $\mathfrak{A}$ is simple.

The property described at (13) implies that any tracial state on $\mathfrak{A}$ must be equal to ϕ. If both ϕ_A and ϕ_B are traces, then the free product state, ϕ is also a trace, and is thus the unique tracial state. If one of ϕ_A and ϕ_B is not a trace, then neither is ϕ a trace, hence $\mathfrak{A}$ has no tracial states.

□

Lemma 3.3. *Let $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ and let $\epsilon > 0$. Then there are unitaries, $z_1, z_2 \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that $||z_1xz_2 - x'|| < \epsilon$ for some $x' \in \operatorname{span}\Theta$, with Θ as in (8).*

Proof. Let $u \in A$ be a Haar unitary and $v \in B$ a unitary such that $0 \leq \phi_B(v) < 1$. By Lemma 3.1 there is a unitary, $z \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that

$$||z^*xz - (\phi(x)1 + x'')|| < \epsilon/2, \tag{15}$$

where $x'' \in \operatorname{span}\Theta$. Writing $v = c_01 + c_1y$ like in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we see that $(u^*v)^pu^p$ differs in norm by no more that c_0^p from an element of $\operatorname{span}\Theta$. We similarly see that $(u^*v)^px'' \in \operatorname{span}\Theta$. Let p be so large that $c_0^p < \epsilon/(2 + 2|\phi(x)|)$. Let $z_1 = (u^*v)^pz^*$ and $z_2 = zu^p$. Then from (15) we have

$$||z_1xz_2 - \big(\phi(x)(u^*v)^pu^p + (u^*v)^px''u^p\big)|| < \epsilon/2,$$

and from the above discussion there is $x' \in \operatorname{span}\Theta$ such that

$$||\phi(x)(u^*v)^pu^p + (u^*v)^px''u^p - x'|| < \epsilon/2.$$

Hence $||z_1xz_2 - x'|| < \epsilon$.

□

The proof of the following proposition uses ideas from [**9**].

Proposition 3.4. *Let A and B be unital C^*–algebras with faithful, tracial states, τ_A and τ_B, respectively. Let*

$$(\mathfrak{A}, \tau) = (A, \tau_A) * (B, \tau_B).$$

Suppose A has a diffuse abelian subalgebra and $B \neq \mathbf{C}$. Then $\mathfrak{A}$ has stable rank 1, i.e. the set of invertible elements of $\mathfrak{A}$ is dense in $\mathfrak{A}$.

Proof. Suppose for contradiction that the set of invertibles in $\mathfrak{A}$, denoted $\mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{A})$, is not dense in $\mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{A})$. Then, by [**18**, 2.6], there is $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that $||x|| = 1$ and $\mathrm{dist}(x, \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{A})) = 1$. We must have $||x||_2 < 1$ since $||x||_2 = 1$ would imply that x is unitary. Let $\epsilon = 1 - ||x||_2$. Let u be a Haar unitary in A and let $v \in B$ be a unitary such that $0 \leq \tau_B(v) < 1$. By Lemma 3.3 there are $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and unitaries, $z_1, z_2 \in \mathfrak{A}$, such that $||z_1 x z_2 - x'|| < \epsilon/8$ for some $x' \in \mathrm{span}\,\Psi_n$, where

$$\Psi_n = \{u^l b_1 a_1 \cdots b_{k-1} a_{k-1} b_k u^m \mid k, l, m \in \mathbf{N},\, l, m < n,\, b_j \in B^{\mathrm{o}}, a_j \in A^{\mathrm{o}}\}.$$

Let p be so large that $c_0^p < \epsilon/(8(||x|| + \epsilon))$. By writing $v = c_0 1 + c_1 y$ as in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we see that

$$||(u^n v)^p u^n x' - x''|| < \epsilon/8$$

for some $x'' \in \mathrm{span}\,\Psi_{n,p}$ where

$$\Psi_{n,p} = \{u^{nl} b_1 a_1 \cdots b_{k-1} a_{k-1} b_k u^m \mid k, l, m \in \mathbf{N},\, l < p,\, m < n,\, b_j \in B^{\mathrm{o}},\, a_j \in A^{\mathrm{o}}\}.$$

For $q \in \mathbf{N}$ let $E_q : A \to A$ be

$$E_q(a) = \sum_{j=q+1}^{q+np} u^j \langle \hat{a}, (u^j)\hat{\ } \rangle,$$

where we denote the defining embedding $A \hookrightarrow L^2(A, \tau_A)$ by $a \mapsto \hat{a}$. Since $\lim_{j\to\infty} \langle \hat{a}, (u^j)\hat{\ } \rangle = 0$ we have $\lim_{q\to\infty} ||E_q(a)|| = 0$ for every $a \in A$. Therefore, there is q, a positive multiple of n, such that $||x^{(3)} - x''|| < \epsilon/8$ for some $x^{(3)}$ in $\mathrm{span}\,\Psi'_{n,p,q}$, where

$$\Psi'_{n,p,q} = \{u^{nl} b_1 a_1 \cdots b_{k-1} a_{k-1} b_k u^m \mid k, l, m \in \mathbf{N},\, l < p,\, m < n,\, b_j \in B^{\mathrm{o}},\, a_j \in A^{\mathrm{o}}, E_q(a_j) = 0\}.$$

Let X_A be a standard orthonormal basis (see [**9**, §2]) for (A, τ_A) containing $\{u, u^2, u^3, \ldots, u^{q+np}\}$ and let X_B be a standard orthonormal basis for (B, τ_B). Let $Y = X_A * X_B$ be the resulting free product standard orthonormal basis for $(\mathfrak{A}, \tau)$. (Note that, by definition, $Y \backslash \{1\}$ is the set of all reduced words in $X_A \backslash \{1\}$ and $X_B \backslash \{1\}$.) Then there is $x^{(4)} \in \mathrm{span} Y'_{n,p,q}$ such that $||u^q x^{(3)} - x^{(4)}|| < \epsilon/8$, where $Y'_{n,p,q}$ is the subset of Y defined by

$$Y'_{n,p,q} = \left\{ u^{q+nl} b_1 a_1 \cdots b_{k-1} a_{k-1} b_k u^m \,\middle|\, \begin{array}{l} l, m, k \in \mathbf{N},\, l < p,\, m < n, \\ b_j \in X_B \backslash \{1\}, \\ a_j \in X_A \backslash \{1, u^{q+1}, u^{q+2}, \ldots, u^{q+np}\} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Now we see that, since no cancellation occurs when we multiply elements of $Y'_{n,p,q}$, (but only "u on u contact"), whenever $w_1, \dots, w_m \in Y'_{n,p}$ we have

$$||w_1 w_2 \cdots w_m||_2 = ||w_1||_2 ||w_2||_2 \cdots ||w_m||_2.$$

Moreover, if $w_1 w_2 \cdots w_m = w'_1 w'_2 \cdots w'_m$ for any $m \in \mathbf{N}$ and $w_j, w'_j \in Y'_{n,p,q}$, then $w'_1 = w_1$, $w'_2 = w_2$, $\dots$, $w'_m = w_m$. The reason for this is that when we take the reduced word of $w_1 w_2 \dots w_m$, a letter u^k for $q \leq k \leq q + np$ appears at every boundary where w_j touches w_{j+1}, $(1 \leq j \leq m-1)$, and nowhere else, and writing $u^k = u^r u^{q+ln}$ for $l, r \in \mathbf{N}$ and $r \leq n$, we see that u^r must have been the last letter in w_j and u^{q+ln} must have been the first letter in w_{j+1}, so we can recover the list of letters, $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m$ from their product. Thus we see that $||(x^{(4)})^m||_2 = ||x^{(4)}||_2^m$ for every $m \in \mathbf{N}$ and (see [**9**, 3.2]) $K((x^{(4)})^m) = K(x^{(4)})$. Now we argue as in the proof of [**9**, 3.8] to show that the spectral radius of $x^{(4)}$, denoted $r(x^{(4)})$, is no greater than $||x^{(4)}||_2$. Indeed, let q be the largest block length of the words in the support of $x^{(4)}$, so that, in the notation of [**9**, 2.2],

$$x^{(4)} \in \operatorname{span} \bigcup_{j=1}^{q} Y_j.$$

Then, by [**9**, 3.5],

$$\forall m \in \mathbf{N} \qquad ||(x^{(4)})^m|| \leq (2mk+1)^{3/2} K(x^{(4)}) ||x^{(4)}||_2^m,$$

where $K(x^{(4)})$ is a constant. Hence

$$r(x^{(4)}) = \liminf_{m \to \infty} ||(x^{(4)})^m||^{1/m} \leq ||x^{(4)}||_2.$$

Therefore $\operatorname{dist}(x^{(4)}, \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{A})) \leq ||x^{(4)}||_2$. But $||x^{(4)} - u^k (u^n v)^p u^n z_1 x z_2|| < \epsilon/2$, so

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{dist}(x, \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{A})) &= \operatorname{dist}(u^k (u^n v)^p u^n z_1 x z_2, \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{A})) \leq ||x^{(4)} - u^k (u^n v)^p u^n z_1 x z_2|| + ||x^{(4)}||_2 \\ &< \epsilon/2 + ||x^{(4)} - u^k (u^n v)^p u^n z_1 x z_2||_2 + ||u^k (u^n v)^p u^n z_1 x z_2||_2 < \epsilon + ||x||_2 = 1,\end{aligned}$$

contradicting the choice of x.

□

Note that Propositions 3.2 and 3.4 combine to prove Theorem 2.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $0 < \alpha < 1$, let A be a unital C*–algebra with state ϕ_A whose GNS representation is faithful and let*

$$(\mathfrak{A},\phi) = (\underset{\alpha}{\overset{p}{\mathbf{C}}} \oplus \underset{1-\alpha}{\overset{1-p}{\mathbf{C}}}) * (A,\phi_A).$$

Suppose the centralizer of ϕ_A has a diffuse abelian subalgebra. Then the centralizer of $\phi|_{p\mathfrak{A}p}$ has a diffuse abelian subalgebra.

Proof. Let u be a Haar unitary in the centralizer of ϕ_A, let $q = u^*pu$ and let B be the C*–algebra generated by $\{1, p, q\}$. Then p and q are free, so

$$(B,\phi|_B) = (\underset{\alpha}{\overset{p}{\mathbf{C}}} \oplus \underset{1-\alpha}{\overset{1-p}{\mathbf{C}}}) * (\underset{\alpha}{\overset{q}{\mathbf{C}}} \oplus \underset{1-\alpha}{\overset{1-q}{\mathbf{C}}}).$$

Case I(3.5). $\alpha < 1/2$.

Then by Proposition 2.7 we have for some $0 < b < 1$ that

$$B \cong \{f : [0,b] \to M_2(\mathbf{C}) \mid f \text{ continuous and } f(0) \text{ diagonal }\} \oplus \underset{1-2\alpha}{\overset{(1-p)\wedge(1-q)}{\mathbf{C}}},$$

$pBp \cong C([0,b])$ and $\phi|_{pBp}$ is given by an atomless measure on $[0,b]$. Thus pBp is a diffuse abelian subalgebra of the centralizer of $\phi|pAp$.

Case II(3.5). $\alpha = 1/2$.

This is just as in Case I, except now

$$B \cong \{f : [0,1] \to M_2(\mathbf{C}) \mid f \text{ continuous and } f(0) \text{ and } f(1) \text{ diagonal }\}.$$

Case $\mathbf{III_n}$(3.5). $(n \in \mathbf{N})$. $1 - 2^{-(n-1)} < \alpha \le 1 - 2^{-n}$.

By induction on n. The case III_1 reduces to Cases I and II. Let $n > 1$. Then $\alpha > 1/2$ and by Proposition 2.7 there is some $0 < b < 1$ such that

$$B \cong \{f : [0,b] \to M_2(\mathbf{C}) \mid f \text{ continuous and } f(0) \text{ diagonal }\} \oplus \underset{2\alpha-1}{\overset{p\wedge q}{\mathbf{C}}},$$

$(p - p\wedge q)B(p - p\wedge q) \cong C([0,b])$ and the restriction of ϕ to $(p - p\wedge q)B(p - p\wedge q)$ is given by an atomless measure on $[0,b]$. Hence it will suffice to find a diffuse abelian subalgebra of the centralizer of $\phi|_{(p\wedge q)\mathfrak{A}(p\wedge q)}$, because adding it to $(p - p\wedge q)B(p - p\wedge q)$ will give a diffuse abelian subalgebra of the centralizer of $\phi|_{p\mathfrak{A}p}$.

We claim that the family $\{p, q, u^2\}$ is free in $(\mathfrak{A},\phi)$. Indeed, it suffices to show that every reduced word in $p - \phi(p)1$, $q - \phi(q)1$ and nonzero powers of u^2 evaluates to zero under ϕ.

However, rewriting each $q-\phi(q)1$ as $u^*(p-\phi(p)1)u$, we see that each such word is equal to a word in $p-\phi(p)1$ and nonzero powers of u. From the freeness of p and u, it follows that this word evaluates to zero under ϕ.

Hence $p\wedge q$ and u^2 are free. Letting D be the C*–algebra generated by $\{p\wedge q,u^2\}$, we have

$$(D,\phi|_D)\cong(\underset{2\alpha-1}{\overset{p\wedge q}{\mathbf{C}}}\oplus\mathbf{C})*(C^*(\mathbf{Z}),\tau|_{\mathbf{Z}}).$$

Since $2\alpha-1\le 1-2^{-(n-1)}$, by inductive hypothesis there is a diffuse abelian subalgebra of $(p\wedge q)D(p\wedge q)$. As remarked above, this finishes the proof.

□

Corollary 3.6. *Let A be a C*–algebra with state ϕ_A whose GNS representation is faithful and let $n\in\mathbf{N}$, $n\ge 2$ and*

$$(\mathfrak{A},\phi)=(\underset{\alpha_1}{\overset{p_1}{\mathbf{C}}}\oplus\underset{\alpha_2}{\overset{p_2}{\mathbf{C}}}\oplus\cdots\oplus\underset{\alpha_n}{\overset{p_n}{\mathbf{C}}})*(A,\phi_A).$$

Suppose the centralizer of ϕ_A has a diffuse abelian subalgebra. Then the centralizer of ϕ has a diffuse abelian subalgebra containing $\{p_1,p_2,\dots,p_n\}$.

Proof. For each j, using Proposition 3.5 and considering the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{A}$ generated by $A\cup\{p_j\}$, we see that the centralizer of $\phi|_{p_j\mathfrak{A}p_j}$ has a diffuse abelian subalgebra, D_j. Then $D_1+D_2+\cdots+D_n$ is the required diffuse abelian subalgebra of the centralizer of ϕ.

□

§4. Finite dimensional abelian algebras.

In this section, we examine the reduced free product of (finitely many) finite dimensional abelian C*–algebras. The methods used are reminiscent of [**5**].

Some words about notation are in order. The natural notation

$$(A,\tau_A)=\underset{\alpha_1}{\overset{p_1}{\mathbf{C}}}\oplus\underset{\alpha_2}{\overset{p_2}{\mathbf{C}}}\oplus\cdots\oplus\underset{\alpha_n}{\overset{p_n}{\mathbf{C}}}$$

for a finite dimensional abelian C*–algebra and a faithful state was explained just before Theorem 1. Similarly, the notation

$$\mathfrak{A}=\overset{r_0}{\mathfrak{A}_0}\bigoplus_k\underset{\gamma_k}{\overset{r_k}{\mathbf{C}}}$$

was explained after that theorem. Analogously, we will often write expressions like

$$(\mathfrak{A},\phi)=(A_0\oplus\underset{\alpha_1}{\overset{p_1}{\mathbf{C}}}\oplus\cdots\oplus\underset{\alpha_n}{\overset{p_n}{\mathbf{C}}})*(B,\phi_B). \tag{16}$$

This will mean $(\mathfrak{A},\phi)=(A,\phi_A)*(B,\phi_B)$ where

$$A=A_0\oplus\underbrace{\mathbf{C}\oplus\cdots\oplus\mathbf{C}}_{n\text{ times}},$$

where A_0 is some C*–algebra, where

$$p_k=\underbrace{0\oplus\cdots\oplus 0}_{k\text{ times}}\oplus 1\oplus\underbrace{0\oplus\cdots\oplus 0}_{n-k\text{ times}}$$

and where the state, ϕ_A, satisfies $\phi_A(p_k)=\alpha_k$. In the case of (16) we will always assume that every $\alpha_k>0$, that $\sum_1^n\alpha_k<1$ and that the GNS representation of the restriction of ϕ_A to $A_0\oplus 0\oplus\cdots\oplus 0$ is faithful. Usually, we will also desire that the centralizer of the restriction of ϕ_A to $A_0\oplus 0\oplus\cdots\oplus 0$ have an abelian subalgebra on which it is diffuse, (see Definition 2.1). This is conveniently expressed by writing "the centralizer of $\phi|_{A_0}$ has a diffuse abelian subalgebra."

Lemma 4.1. *Let*

$$(\mathfrak{A},\phi)=(A_0\oplus\underset{\alpha}{\overset{p}{\mathbf{C}}})*(\underset{\beta_1}{\overset{q_1}{\mathbf{C}}}\oplus\underset{\beta_2}{\overset{q_2}{\mathbf{C}}}),$$

where the centralizer of $\phi|_{A_0}$ has a diffuse abelian subalgebra. Take $\beta_1\geq\beta_2$. Then

$$\mathfrak{A}=\begin{cases}\overset{r_0}{\mathfrak{A}_0} & \text{if } \alpha+\beta_1\leq 1\\ \overset{r_0}{\mathfrak{A}_0}\oplus\underset{\alpha+\beta_1-1}{\overset{p\wedge q_1}{\mathbf{C}}} & \text{if } \alpha+\beta_1>1,\ \alpha+\beta_2\leq 1\\ \overset{r_0}{\mathfrak{A}_0}\oplus\underset{\alpha+\beta_1-1}{\overset{p\wedge q_1}{\mathbf{C}}}\oplus\underset{\alpha+\beta_2-1}{\overset{p\wedge q_2}{\mathbf{C}}} & \text{if } \alpha+\beta_2>1,\end{cases}\tag{17}$$

where the centralizer of $\phi|_{\mathfrak{A}_0}$ has a diffuse abelian subalgebra which contains r_0p and a diffuse abelian subalgebra which contains r_0q_1, and where r_0p is full in $\mathfrak{A}_0$.

If $\phi|_{A_0}$ is a trace then the stable rank of $\mathfrak{A}$ is 1.

If $\alpha+\beta_1\neq 1$ and $\alpha+\beta_2\neq 1$ then $\mathfrak{A}_0$ is simple. If, in addition, $\phi|_{A_0}$ is a trace then $\phi(r_0)^{-1}\phi|_{\mathfrak{A}_0}$ is the unique tracial state on $\mathfrak{A}_0$ and if $\phi|_{A_0}$ is not a trace then $\mathfrak{A}_0$ has no tracial states.

Whenever $\alpha+\beta_i=1$ for $i\in\{1,2\}$, there is a $*$–homomorphism, $\pi_i:\mathfrak{A}_0\to\mathbf{C}$, such that $\pi_i(r_0p)=1=\pi_i(q_i)$.

If $\alpha+\beta_1=1$ and $\alpha+\beta_2<1$ then q_1 is full in $\mathfrak{A}_0$ and $\ker\pi_1$ is simple. If, in addition, $\phi|_{A_0}$ is a trace then $\phi(r_0)^{-1}\phi|_{\ker\pi_1}$ is the unique tracial state on $\ker\pi_1$ and if $\phi|_{A_0}$ is not a trace then $\ker\pi_1$ has no tracial states.

If $\alpha + \beta_1 > 1$ *and* $\alpha + \beta_2 = 1$ *them* q_2 *is full in* $\mathfrak{A}_0$ *and* $\ker \pi_2$ *is simple. If, in addition,* $\phi|_{A_0}$ *is a trace then* $\phi(r_0)^{-1}\phi|_{\ker \pi_2}$ *is the unique tracial state on* $\ker \pi_2$ *and if* $\phi|_{A_0}$ *is not a trace then* $\ker \pi_2$ *has no tracial states.*

If $\alpha + \beta_1 = 1$ *and* $\alpha + \beta_2 = 1$ *(which implies* $\alpha = \beta_1 = \frac{1}{2}$*) then* q_1 *is full in* $\ker \pi_2$ *and* q_2 *is full in* $\ker \pi_1$ *and* $(\ker \pi_1) \cap (\ker \pi_2)$ *is simple. If, in addition,* $\phi|_{A_0}$ *is a trace then* $\phi(r_0)^{-1}\phi|_{\ker \pi_1 \cap \ker \pi_2}$ *is the unique tracial state on* $\ker \pi_1 \cap \ker \pi_2$ *and if* $\phi|_{A_0}$ *is not a trace then* $\ker \pi_1 \cap \ker \pi_2$ *has no tracial states.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{A}_1$ be the C*–subalgebra of $\mathfrak{A}$ generated by $\{1, p, q\}$, so

$$(\mathfrak{A}_1, \phi|_{\mathfrak{A}_1}) = (\overset{1-p}{\underset{1-\alpha}{\mathbf{C}}} \oplus \overset{p}{\underset{\alpha}{\mathbf{C}}}) * (\overset{q_1}{\underset{\beta_1}{\mathbf{C}}} \oplus \overset{q_2}{\underset{\beta_2}{\mathbf{C}}}).$$

By Proposition 2.8, $(1-p)\mathfrak{A}(1-p)$ is isomorphic to the free product of $(1-p)\mathfrak{A}_1(1-p)$ and A_0. We use Proposition 2.7 to find $\mathfrak{A}_1$. We will also use that $\mathfrak{A}$ is generated by

$$(1-p)\mathfrak{A}(1-p) \cup (1-p)\mathfrak{A}_1 p \cup p\mathfrak{A}_1 p.$$

Case I(4.1). $\alpha > \beta_1$.

Then

$$\mathfrak{A}_1 = \overset{p\wedge q_2}{\underset{\alpha+\beta_2-1}{\mathbf{C}}} \oplus \Big(C([a,b]) \otimes M_2(\mathbf{C})\Big) \oplus \overset{p\wedge q_1}{\underset{\alpha+\beta_1-1}{\mathbf{C}}}$$

so $(1-p)\mathfrak{A}(1-p) \cong C([a,b]) * A_0$ is simple by Proposition 3.2. Thus

$$\mathfrak{A} \cong \overset{p\wedge q_2}{\underset{\alpha+\beta_2-1}{\mathbf{C}}} \oplus \Big((C([a,b]) * A_0) \otimes M_2(\mathbf{C})\Big) \oplus \overset{p\wedge q_1}{\underset{\alpha+\beta_1-1}{\mathbf{C}}}.$$

Letting $r_0 = 1 - p \wedge q_1 - p \wedge q_2$ we then have that $\mathfrak{A}_0 \overset{\text{def}}{=} r_0\mathfrak{A} = (C([a,b]) * A_0) \otimes M_2(\mathbf{C})$ is simple. If $\phi|_{A_0}$ is a trace then $(1-p)\mathfrak{A}(1-p)$ has stable rank 1 by Proposition 3.4. Thus also $\mathfrak{A}$ has stable rank 1. Finally, clearly $r_0\mathfrak{A}_1$ is in the centralizer of ϕ. Hence the centralizer of $\phi|_{\mathfrak{A}_0}$ has a diffuse abelian subalgebra which contains $r_0 p$ and another which contains $r_0 q_1$.

Case II(4.1). $\alpha = \beta_1 > \frac{1}{2}$.

Then

$$\mathfrak{A}_1 = \{f : [0,b] \to M_2(\mathbf{C}) \mid f \text{ continuous and } f(0) \text{ diagonal }\} \oplus \overset{p\wedge q_1}{\underset{\alpha+\beta-1}{\mathbf{C}}}, \tag{18}$$

with $p = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus 1$ and $q_1 = \begin{pmatrix} t & \sqrt{t(1-t)} \\ \sqrt{t(1-t)} & 1-t \end{pmatrix} \oplus 1$. Moreover, $p \wedge q_1$ is minimal and central in $\mathfrak{A}$ and, by Proposition 3.2, $(1-p)\mathfrak{A}(1-p) \cong C([0,b]) * A_0$ is simple. Consider the central projection, $r_0 = 1 - p \wedge q_1$ and let $\mathfrak{A}_0 = r_0\mathfrak{A}$. Because $r_0\mathfrak{A}_1$ is in the centralizer of ϕ, the centralizer of $\phi|_{\mathfrak{A}_0}$ has a diffuse abelian subalgebra which contains $r_0 p$ and and another which contains $r_0 q_1$. Let $\pi^{(1)}_{p\wedge q_2} : r_0\mathfrak{A}_1 \to \mathbf{C}$ be the $*$–homomorphism defined, in the notation of (18), by

$$\pi^{(1)}_{p\wedge q_2}(f) = \text{ the (1,1)–entry of } f(0),$$

so that $\pi^{(1)}_{p\wedge q_2}(r_0 p) = 1 = \pi^{(1)}_{p\wedge q_2}(q_2)$. Clearly r_0 is also central in $\mathfrak{A}$ and the linear span of

$$r_0 p\mathfrak{A}_1 p + (1-p)\mathfrak{A}(1-p) + (1-p)\mathfrak{A}(1-p)\mathfrak{A}_1 p + p\mathfrak{A}_1(1-p)\mathfrak{A}(1-p) + p\mathfrak{A}_1(1-p)\mathfrak{A}(1-p)\mathfrak{A}_1 p$$

is dense in $\mathfrak{A}_0$. Thus $\pi^{(1)}_{p\wedge q_2}$ extends to a $*$–homomorphism $\pi_{p\wedge q_2} : \mathfrak{A}_0 \to \mathbf{C}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \ker \pi^{(1)}_{p\wedge q_2} + &(1-p)\mathfrak{A}(1-p) + (1-p)\mathfrak{A}(1-p)\mathfrak{A}_1 p + \\ &+ p\mathfrak{A}_1(1-p)\mathfrak{A}(1-p) + p\mathfrak{A}_1(1-p)\mathfrak{A}(1-p)\mathfrak{A}_1 p \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

spans a dense subset of $\ker \pi_{p\wedge q_2}$.

We now show that $\ker \pi_{p\wedge q_2}$ is simple. Since $(1-p)\mathfrak{A}(1-p)$ is simple, by Proposition 2.6 it will suffice to show that $(1-p)\mathfrak{A}(1-p)$ is full in $\ker \pi_{p\wedge q_2}$. But clearly $1-p$ is full in $\ker \pi^{(1)}_{p\wedge q_2}$ and $p\mathfrak{A}_1(1-p) \subseteq \ker \pi^{(1)}_{p\wedge q_2}$. Hence by the denseness of the span of (19) in $\ker \pi_{p\wedge q_2}$, there is no proper ideal of $\ker \pi_{p\wedge q_2}$ containing $(1-p)\mathfrak{A}(1-p)$.

Suppose $\phi|_{A_0}$ is a trace. Then $(1-p)\mathfrak{A}(1-p)$ has stable rank 1 by Proposition 3.4. Since $1-p$ is full in $\ker \pi_{p\wedge q_2}$, also $\ker \pi_{p\wedge q_2}$ has stable rank 1 by Proposition 2.5(i). Then $\mathfrak{A}$ has stable rank 1 by Proposition 2.4.

Finally, we show that q_2 is full in $\mathfrak{A}_0$. Suppose $\mathcal{I}$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{A}_0$ containing q_2. Looking at the ideal of $\mathfrak{A}_1$ generated by q_2, we see that $\mathcal{I}$ contains a nonzero element of $\ker \pi_{p\wedge q_2}$, hence by simplicity contains all of $\ker \pi_{p\wedge q_2}$. But $q_2 \notin \ker \pi_{p\wedge q_2}$ and $\mathfrak{A}_0 / \ker \pi_{p\wedge q_2}$ is one-dimensional, hence $\mathfrak{A}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{I}$.

Case III(4.1). *$\beta_1 > \alpha > \beta_2$.*

Then

$$\mathfrak{A}_1 = \underset{\beta_1-\alpha}{\overset{q_1\wedge(1-p)}{\mathbf{C}}} \oplus \Big(C([a,b]) \otimes M_2(\mathbf{C})\Big) \oplus \underset{\beta_1+\alpha-1}{\overset{q_1\wedge p}{\mathbf{C}}}$$

and

$$(1-p)\mathfrak{A}(1-p) \cong \Big(\underset{\frac{\beta_1-\alpha}{1-\alpha}}{\overset{q_1\wedge(1-p)}{\mathbf{C}}} \oplus C([a,b])\Big) * A_0$$

is by Proposition 3.2 simple. Let $r_0 = 1 - q_1 \wedge p$ and $\mathfrak{A}_0 = r_0\mathfrak{A}$. Clearly $1-p$ is full in $r_0\mathfrak{A}_1$ and thus is full in $\mathfrak{A}_0$. Hence $\mathfrak{A}_0$ is simple. If D is a diffuse abelian subalgebra of the centralizer of

$\phi|_{A_0}$ then $D+(p-q_1\wedge p)\mathfrak{A}_1(p-q_1\wedge p)$ is a diffuse abelian subalgebra of the centralizer of $\phi|_{\mathfrak{A}_0}$ and contains $r_0 p$. By Proposition 3.5 and considering the C*–subalgebra of $(1-p)\mathfrak{A}(1-p)$ generated by $\{q_1\wedge(1-p)\}\cup A_0$, we see that there is a diffuse abelian subalgebra, D, of the centralizer of $\phi|_{(q_1\wedge(1-p))\mathfrak{A}(q_1\wedge(1-p))}$. Then

$$D+q_2\mathfrak{A}_1q_2+(q_1-q_1\wedge(1-p)-q_1\wedge p)\mathfrak{A}_1(q_1-q_1\wedge(1-p)-q_1\wedge p)$$

is a diffuse abelian subalgebra of the centralizer of $\phi|_{\mathfrak{A}_0}$ and contains r_0q_1.

If $\phi|_{A_0}$ is a trace then by Proposition 3.4 $(1-p)\mathfrak{A}(1-p)$ has stable rank 1. So by Proposition 2.5(i), $\mathfrak{A}_0$ has stable rank 1. We know

$$\mathfrak{A}=\mathfrak{A}_0\oplus\underset{\alpha+\beta_1-1}{\overset{p\wedge q_1}{\mathbf{C}}},$$

so $\mathfrak{A}$ has stable rank 1.

Case IV(4.1). $\frac{1}{2}>\alpha=\beta_2$.

Then

$$\mathfrak{A}_1=\underset{\beta_1-\alpha}{\overset{(1-p)\wedge q_1}{\mathbf{C}}}\oplus\{f:[a,1]\to M_2(\mathbf{C})\mid f\text{ continuous and }f(1)\text{ diagonal }\},\tag{20}$$

with $0<a<1$, $p=0\oplus\begin{pmatrix}1&0\\0&0\end{pmatrix}$, $q_1=1\oplus\begin{pmatrix}t&\sqrt{t(1-t)}\\\sqrt{t(1-t)}&1-t\end{pmatrix}$. Thus

$$(1-p)\mathfrak{A}(1-p)\cong\Big(\underset{\frac{\beta_1-\alpha}{1-\alpha}}{\overset{q_1\wedge(1-p)}{\mathbf{C}}}\oplus C([a,1])\Big)*A_0$$

is by Proposition 3.2 simple. Moreover, if D is a diffuse abelian subalgebra of the centralizer of $\phi|_{A_0}$ then $D+p\mathfrak{A}_1p$ is a diffuse abelian subalgebra of the centralizer of ϕ and contains p. By Proposition 3.5 and considering the C*–subalgebra of $(1-p)\mathfrak{A}(1-p)$ generated by $\{q_1\wedge(1-p)\}\cup A_0$, we see that there is a diffuse abelian subalgebra, D, of the centralizer of $\phi|_{(q_1\wedge(1-p))\mathfrak{A}(q_1\wedge(1-p))}$. Then

$$D+q_2\mathfrak{A}_1q_2+(q_1-q_1\wedge(1-p))\mathfrak{A}_1(q_1-q_1\wedge(1-p))$$

is a diffuse abelian subalgebra of the centralizer of ϕ and contains q_1.

Let $\pi^{(1)}_{p\wedge q_1}:\mathfrak{A}_1\to\mathbf{C}$ be the $*$–homomorphism defined, in the notation of (20) by

$$\pi^{(1)}_{p\wedge q_1}(\lambda\oplus f)=\text{ the (1,1)–entry of }f(1),$$

so that $\pi^{(1)}_{p\wedge q_1}(p) = 1 = \pi^{(1)}_{p\wedge q_1}(q_1)$. Then the linear span of

$$p\mathfrak{A}_1 p + (1-p)\mathfrak{A}(1-p) + (1-p)\mathfrak{A}(1-p)\mathfrak{A}_1 p + p\mathfrak{A}_1(1-p)\mathfrak{A}(1-p) + p\mathfrak{A}_1(1-p)\mathfrak{A}(1-p)\mathfrak{A}_1 p$$

is clearly dense in $\mathfrak{A}$ so $\pi^{(1)}_{p\wedge q_1}$ extends to a $*$–homomorphism $\pi_{p\wedge q_1} : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathbf{C}$ such that

$$\begin{split}\ker \pi^{(1)}_{p\wedge q_1} + (1-p)\mathfrak{A}(1-p) + (1-p)\mathfrak{A}(1-p)\mathfrak{A}_1 p + \\ + p\mathfrak{A}_1(1-p)\mathfrak{A}(1-p) + p\mathfrak{A}_1(1-p)\mathfrak{A}(1-p)\mathfrak{A}_1 p\end{split} \tag{21}$$

spans a dense subset of $\ker \pi_{p\wedge q_1}$.

Like in Case II, since $1-p$ is full in $\ker^{(1)}_{p\wedge q_1}$ and since $(1-p)\mathfrak{A}(1-p)$ is simple, it follows that $\ker \pi_{p\wedge q_1}$ is simple.

If $\phi|_{A_0}$ is a trace then by Proposition 3.4, $(1-p)\mathfrak{A}(1-p)$ has stable rank 1. Since $1-p$ is full in $\ker \pi_{p\wedge q_1}$, also $\ker \pi_{p\wedge q_1}$ has stable rank 1 by Proposition 2.5(i). Thus by Proposition 2.4, $\mathfrak{A}$ has stable rank 1.

Finally, we show that q_1 is full in $\mathfrak{A}$. Suppose $\mathcal{I}$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{A}$ containing q_1. Looking at the ideal of $\mathfrak{A}_1$ generated by q_1, we see that $\mathcal{I}$ contains a nonzero element of $\ker \pi_{p\wedge q_1}$, hence by simplicity contains all of $\ker \pi_{p\wedge q_1}$. But $q_1 \notin \ker \pi_{p\wedge q_1}$ and $\pi_{p\wedge q_1}$ is one-dimensional, hence $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$.

Case V(4.1). *$\beta_2 > \alpha$.*

Then

$$\mathfrak{A}_1 = \underset{\beta_1-\alpha}{\overset{(1-p)\wedge q_1}{\mathbf{C}}} \oplus \Big(C([a,b]) \otimes M_2(\mathbf{C})\Big) \oplus \underset{\beta_2-\alpha}{\overset{(1-p)\wedge q_2}{\mathbf{C}}}$$

and

$$(1-p)\mathfrak{A}(1-p) \cong \Bigg(\underset{\frac{\beta_1-\alpha}{1-\alpha}}{\overset{(1-p)\wedge q_1}{\mathbf{C}}} \oplus C([a,b]) \oplus \underset{\frac{\beta_2-\alpha}{1-\alpha}}{\overset{(1-p)\wedge q_2}{\mathbf{C}}}\Bigg) * A_0$$

is by Proposition 3.2 simple. Since $1-p$ is full in $\mathfrak{A}_1$, is follows that $\mathfrak{A}$ is simple.

Moreover, if D is a diffuse abelian subalgebra of the centralizer of $\phi|_{A_0}$ then $D + p\mathfrak{A}_1 p$ is a diffuse abelian subalgebra of the centralizer of ϕ and contains p. By Proposition 3.5 and considering the C*–subalgebra of $(1-p)\mathfrak{A}(1-p)$ generated by $\{q_1 \wedge (1-p), q_2 \wedge (1-p)\} \cup A_0$, we see that there are a diffuse abelian subalgebras, D_1 and D_2, of the centralizers of $\phi|_{(q_1\wedge(1-p))\mathfrak{A}(q_1\wedge(1-p))}$ and, respectively, $\phi|_{(q_2\wedge(1-p))\mathfrak{A}(q_2\wedge(1-p))}$. Then

$$D_1 + (q_1 - q_1\wedge(1-p))\mathfrak{A}_1(q_1 - q_1\wedge(1-p)) + D_2 + (q_2 - q_2\wedge(1-p))\mathfrak{A}_1(q_2 - q_2\wedge(1-p))$$

is a diffuse abelian subalgebra of the centralizer of ϕ and contains q_1.

If $\phi|_{A_0}$ is a trace then by Proposition 3.4 $(1-p)\mathfrak{A}(1-p)$ has stable rank 1. Hence by Proposition 2.5(i) also $\mathfrak{A}$ has stable rank 1.

Case VI(4.1). $\beta_1 = \alpha = \frac{1}{2}$.

Then

$$\mathfrak{A}_1 = \{f : [0,1] \to M_2(\mathbf{C}) \mid f \text{ continuous, } f(0),\, f(1) \text{ diagonal }\}, \tag{22}$$

with $p = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, $q_1 = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} t & \sqrt{t(1-t)} \\ \sqrt{t(1-t)} & 1-t \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Thus

$$(1-p)\mathfrak{A}(1-p) \cong C([0,1]) * A_0$$

is by Proposition 3.2 simple. Moreover, clearly $\mathfrak{A}_1$ is in the centralizer of ϕ and has diffuse abelian subalgebras containing p and, respectively, q_1. For $i \in \{1,2\}$ let $\pi^{(1)}_{p\wedge q_i} : \mathfrak{A}_1 \to \mathbf{C}$ be the $*$–homomorphism defined, in the notation of (22), by

$$\pi^{(1)}_{p\wedge q_i}(f) = \begin{cases} \text{the (1,1)–entry of } f(1) & \text{if } i = 1 \\ \text{the (1,1)–entry of } f(0) & \text{if } i = 2, \end{cases}$$

so that $\pi^{(1)}_{p\wedge q_i}(p) = 1 = \pi^{(1)}_{p\wedge q_i}(q_i)$. Then the linear span of

$$p\mathfrak{A}_1 p + (1-p)\mathfrak{A}(1-p) + (1-p)\mathfrak{A}(1-p)\mathfrak{A}_1 p + p\mathfrak{A}_1(1-p)\mathfrak{A}(1-p) + p\mathfrak{A}_1(1-p)\mathfrak{A}(1-p)\mathfrak{A}_1 p$$

is clearly dense in $\mathfrak{A}$ so $\pi^{(1)}_{p\wedge q_i}$ extends to a $*$–homomorphism $\pi_{p\wedge q_i} : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathbf{C}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \ker \pi^{(1)}_{p\wedge q_1} \cap \ker \pi^{(1)}_{p\wedge q_2} + &(1-p)\mathfrak{A}(1-p) + (1-p)\mathfrak{A}(1-p)\mathfrak{A}_1 p + \\ &+ p\mathfrak{A}_1(1-p)\mathfrak{A}(1-p) + p\mathfrak{A}_1(1-p)\mathfrak{A}(1-p)\mathfrak{A}_1 p \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

spans a dense subset of $\ker \pi_{p\wedge q_1} \cap \ker \pi_{p\wedge q_2}$.

Like in Case II, since $1-p$ is full in $\ker^{(1)}_{p\wedge q_1} \cap \ker^{(1)}_{p\wedge q_2}$ and since $(1-p)\mathfrak{A}(1-p)$ is simple, it follows that $\ker \pi_{p\wedge q_1} \cap \ker \pi_{p\wedge q_2}$ is simple.

If $\phi|_{A_0}$ is a trace then by Proposition 3.4 $(1-p)\mathfrak{A}(1-p)$ has stable rank 1. Since $1-p$ is full in $\ker \pi_{p\wedge q_1} \cap \ker \pi_{p\wedge q_2}$, by Proposition 2.5(i) also $\ker \pi_{p\wedge q_1} \cap \ker \pi_{p\wedge q_2}$ has stable rank 1. Since $\mathfrak{A}/(\ker \pi_{p\wedge q_1} \cap \ker \pi_{p\wedge q_2})$ is two–dimensional, it follows from Proposition 2.4 that $\mathfrak{A}$ has stable rank 1.

We show that q_1 is full in $\ker \pi_{p\wedge q_2}$. Suppose $\mathcal{I}$ is an ideal of $\ker \pi_{p\wedge q_2}$ containing q_1. Multiplying by elements of $\mathfrak{A}_1$, we see that $\mathcal{I}$ contains a nonzero element of $\ker \pi_{p\wedge q_1}$, hence by simplicity contains all of $\ker \pi_{p\wedge q_1} \cap \ker \pi_{p\wedge q_2}$. But $q_1 \notin \ker \pi_{p\wedge q_1}$ and $\pi_{p\wedge q_1}$ is one-dimensional, hence $\ker \pi_{p\wedge q_2} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$. The proof that q_2 is full in $\ker \pi_{p\wedge q_1}$ is the same.

We now examine the question of existence and uniqueness of tracial states on the algebras delineated in the statement of the lemma. In all the cases above, it follows from Proposition 3.2 that $(1-p)\mathfrak{A}(1-p)$ has tracial states if and only if $\phi|_{A_0}$ is a trace, and then the free product state gives the unique tracial state on $(1-p)\mathfrak{A}(1-p)$. Moreover, the element $1-p$ is full in the simple algebras under consideration, i.e.

$1-p$ is full in $\mathfrak{A}_0$ in Cases I, III and V,
$1-p$ is full in $\ker\pi_{p\wedge q_2}$ in Case II,
$1-p$ is full in $\ker\pi_{p\wedge q_1}$ in Case IV,
$1-p$ is full in $\ker\pi_{p\wedge q_1}\cap\ker\pi_{p\wedge q_2}$ in Case VI.

It then follows from Proposition 2.5(ii) that in each of the Cases I–VI, the corresponding algebra has tracial states if and only if $\phi|_{A_0}$ is a trace, and then the restriction of $\phi(r_0)^{-1}\phi$ to this algebra is its unique tracial state. (In the non–unital Cases II, IV and VI, one easily sees that the above normalization gives a state by looking at the subalgebra $r_0\mathfrak{A}_1$.)

□

Lemma 4.2. *Let*

$$(\mathfrak{A},\phi)=(A_0\oplus\underset{\alpha_1}{\overset{p_1}{\mathbf{C}}}\oplus\underset{\alpha_2}{\overset{p_2}{\mathbf{C}}})*(\underset{\beta_1}{\overset{q_1}{\mathbf{C}}}\oplus\underset{\beta_2}{\overset{q_2}{\mathbf{C}}}),$$

where the centralizer of $\phi|_{A_0}$ has a diffuse abelian subalgebra. Let

$$\begin{aligned}L_+&=\{(i,j)\mid\alpha_i+\beta_j>1\}\\ L_0&=\{(i,j)\mid\alpha_i+\beta_j=1\}.\end{aligned}\tag{24}$$

Then

$$\mathfrak{A}=\overset{r_0}{\mathfrak{A}_0}\oplus\bigoplus_{(i,j)\in L_+}\underset{\alpha_i+\beta_j-1}{\overset{p_i\wedge q_j}{\mathbf{C}}},$$

where the centralizer of $\phi|_{\mathfrak{A}_0}$ has a diffuse abelian subalgebra which contains r_0p_1 and a diffuse abelian subalgebra which contains r_0q_1.

If $\phi|_{A_0}$ is a trace then the stable rank of $\mathfrak{A}$ is 1.

If L_0 is empty then $\mathfrak{A}_0$ is simple. If, in addition, $\phi|_{A_0}$ is a trace then $\phi(r_0)^{-1}\phi|_{\mathfrak{A}_0}$ is the unique tracial state on $\mathfrak{A}_0$ and if $\phi|_{A_0}$ is not a trace then $\mathfrak{A}_0$ has no tracial states.

If L_0 is not empty, then for every $(i,j)\in L_0$ there is a $*$–homomorphism $\pi_{(i,j)}:\mathfrak{A}_0\to\mathbf{C}$ such that $\pi_{(i,j)}(r_0p_i)=1=\pi_{(i,j)}(r_0q_j)$. Then

(i)

$$\mathfrak{A}_{00}\overset{\text{def}}{=}\bigcap_{(i,j)\in L_0}\ker\pi_{(i,j)}$$

is simple. If $\phi|_{A_0}$ is a trace then $\phi(r_0)^{-1}\phi|_{\mathfrak{A}_{00}}$ is the unique tracial state on $\mathfrak{A}_{00}$ and if $\phi|_{A_0}$ is not a trace then $\mathfrak{A}_{00}$ has no tracial states.

(ii) *For each* $i \in \{1,2\}$, $r_0 p_i$ *is full in* $\mathfrak{A}_0 \cap \bigcap_{\substack{(i',j)\in L_0 \\ i'\neq i}} \ker \pi_{(i',j)}$.

(iii) *For each* $j \in \{1,2\}$, $r_0 q_j$ *is full in* $\mathfrak{A}_0 \cap \bigcap_{\substack{(i,j')\in L_0 \\ j'\neq j}} \ker \pi_{(i,j')}$.

Proof. We will assume that $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2$ and $\beta_1 \geq \beta_2$. To prove the lemma in its full generality, we will now be careful to find a diffuse abelian subalgebra of the centralizer of $\phi|_{\mathfrak{A}_0}$ containing $\{r_0p_1, r_0p_2\}$, not only r_0p_1. Let $\mathfrak{A}_1$ be the C*–subalgebra of $\mathfrak{A}$ generated by $A_0 + \mathbf{C}(p_1+p_2)$ together with $\{q_1, q_2\}$, i.e.

$$(\mathfrak{A}_1, \phi|_{\mathfrak{A}_1}) = (A_0 \oplus \underset{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}{\overset{p_1+p_2}{\mathbf{C}}}) * (\underset{\beta_1}{\overset{q_1}{\mathbf{C}}} \oplus \underset{\beta_2}{\overset{q_2}{\mathbf{C}}}). \tag{25}$$

We find $\mathfrak{A}_1$ using Lemma 4.1. Then, by Proposition 2.8

$$(p_1+p_2)\mathfrak{A}(p_1+p_2) \cong (p_1+p_2)\mathfrak{A}_1(p_1+p_2) * \Big(\underset{\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}}{\overset{p_1}{\mathbf{C}}} \oplus \underset{\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}}{\overset{p_2}{\mathbf{C}}} \Big) \tag{26}$$

We consider three cases.

Case I(4.2). $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_1 \leq 1$.

Then by Lemma 4.1, the centralizer of $\phi|_{\mathfrak{A}_1}$ has a diffuse abelian subalgebra, D, which contains p_1+p_2 and p_1+p_2 is full in $\mathfrak{A}_1$. Hence by Proposition 3.2 $(p_1+p_2)\mathfrak{A}(p_1+p_2)$ is simple. Also, p_1+p_2 is full in $\mathfrak{A}$, hence $\mathfrak{A}$ is simple. If ϕ_{A_0} is a trace then by Proposition 3.4 $(p_1+p_2)\mathfrak{A}(p_1+p_2)$ has stable rank 1. Hence by Proposition 2.5(i) so does $\mathfrak{A}$. The application of Lemma 4.1 to (25) yields a diffuse abelian subalgebra of the centralizer of ϕ which contains $\{q_1, q_2\}$. Applying Corollary 3.6 to (26) shows that the centralizer of $\phi|_{(p_1+p_2)\mathfrak{A}(p_1+p_2)}$ has a diffuse abelian subalgebra, D', containing $\{p_1, p_2\}$. Then $(1-p_1-p_2)D(1-p_1-p_2) + D'$ is a diffuse abelian subalgebra of the centralizer of ϕ containing $\{p_1, p_2\}$.

Case II(4.2). $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_1 > 1$ *and* $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_2 \leq 1$.

Note that this implies

$$L_+ = \{(i,1) \mid \alpha_i + \beta_1 > 1\}$$
$$L_0 = \{(i,1) \mid \alpha_i + \beta_1 = 1\}.$$

Applying Lemma 4.1 to (25) shows that

$$\mathfrak{A}_1 = \overset{r_{1,0}}{\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}} \oplus \underset{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\beta_1-1}{\overset{(p_1+p_2)\wedge q_1}{\mathbf{C}}},$$

where $r_{1,0} = 1-(p_1+p_2)\wedge q_1$, where the centralizer of $\phi|_{\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}}$ has a diffuse abelian subalgebra containing $r_{1,0}(p_1+p_2)$ and where each of $r_{1,0}(p_1+p_2)$ and q_2 is full in $\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}$. Then

$$(p_1+p_2)\mathfrak{A}_1(p_1+p_2) = (p_1+p_2)\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}(p_1+p_2) \oplus \underset{\frac{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\beta_1-1}{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}}{\overset{(p_1+p_1)\wedge q_1}{\mathbf{C}}}.$$

So from (26) and Lemma 4.1,

$$(p_1+p_2)\mathfrak{A}(p_1+p_2) = \overset{r_{2,0}}{\mathfrak{A}_{2,0}} \oplus \bigoplus_{(i,1)\in L_+} \underset{\frac{\alpha_i+\beta_1-1}{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}}{\overset{p_i\wedge q_1}{\mathbf{C}}},$$

where $r_{2,0} = p_1+p_2-\sum_{(i,1)\in L_+} p_i\wedge q_1$. Since $\mathfrak{A}$ is generated by $(p_1+p_2)\mathfrak{A}(p_1+p_2)\cup\mathfrak{A}_1$, we have

$$\mathfrak{A} = \overset{r_0}{\mathfrak{A}_0} \oplus \bigoplus_{(i,1)\in L_+} \underset{\alpha_i+\beta_1-1}{\overset{p_i\wedge q_1}{\mathbf{C}}}$$

where the linear span of

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathfrak{A}_{2,0}+\mathfrak{A}_{2,0}\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}(1-p_1-p_2)+(1-p_1-p_2)\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}\mathfrak{A}_{2,0}+\\ &\quad+(1-p_1-p_2)\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}\mathfrak{A}_{2,0}\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}(1-p_1-p_2)+(1-p_1-p_2)\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}(1-p_1-p_2)\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

is dense in $\mathfrak{A}_0$. Thus $r_0 = r_{2,0}+(1-p_1-p_2)$. Now the centralizer of $\phi|_{\mathfrak{A}_{2,0}}$ has a diffuse abelian subalgebra, D, which contains $\{r_{2,0}p_1, r_{2,0}p_2\}$. Letting D' be a diffuse abelian subalgebra of the centralizer of $\phi|_{A_0}$ it follows that $D+D'$ is a diffuse abelian subalgebra of the centralizer of $\phi|_{\mathfrak{A}_0}$ and contains $\{r_0p_1, r_0p_2\}$.

Let now D be a diffuse abelian subalgebra of the centralizer of $\phi|_{\mathfrak{A}_{2,0}}$ containing $r_{2,0}((p_1+p_2)\wedge q_1)$ and D' be a diffuse abelian subalgebra of the centralizer of $\phi|_{\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}}$ containing $\{r_{1,0}q_1, q_2\}$. Then $r_{2,0}((p_1+p_2)\wedge q_1)D+D'$ is a diffuse abelian subalgebra of the centralizer of $\phi|_{\mathfrak{A}_0}$ and contains $\{q_2, r_0q_1\}$.

Since $r_{1,0}(p_1+p_2)\in\mathfrak{A}_{2,0}$ and is full in $\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}$, it follows that $\mathfrak{A}_{2,0}$ is full in $\mathfrak{A}_0$. If L_0 is empty then $\mathfrak{A}_{2,0}$ is simple, hence (by Proposition 2.6) $\mathfrak{A}_0$ is also simple.

Otherwise, if L_0 is nonempty, for every $(i,1)\in L_0$ there is a $*$–homomorphism $\pi^{(2)}_{(i,1)}$: $\mathfrak{A}_{2,0}\to\mathbf{C}$ such that $\pi^{(2)}_{(i,1)}(r_{2,0}p_i) = 1 = \pi^{(2)}_{(i,1)}(r_{2,0}((p_1+p_2)\wedge q_1))$. Using the denseness of the span of (27) in $\mathfrak{A}_0$, we see that $\pi^{(2)}_{(i,1)}$ extends to a $*$–homomorphism $\pi_{(i,1)}:\mathfrak{A}_0\to\mathbf{C}$ such that $\pi_{(i,1)}(r_0p_i) = 1 = \pi_{(i,1)}(r_0q_1)$ and the linear span of

$$\begin{aligned}&\ker\pi^{(2)}_{(i,1)}+\mathfrak{A}_{2,0}\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}(1-p_1-p_2)+(1-p_1-p_2)\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}\mathfrak{A}_{2,0}+\\ &\qquad+(1-p_1-p_2)\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}\mathfrak{A}_{2,0}\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}(1-p_1-p_2)+(1-p_1-p_2)\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}(1-p_1-p_2)\end{aligned}$$

is dense $\ker\pi_{(i,1)}$.

Let

$$\mathfrak{A}_{2,00} = \mathfrak{A}_{2,0} \cap \bigcap_{(i,1)\in L_0} \ker \pi^{(2)}_{(i,1)}.$$

From the application of Lemma 4.1, $\mathfrak{A}_{2,00}$ is simple. Since $\mathfrak{A}_{2,00}$ contains $r_{1,0}(p_1+p_2)$, which is full in $\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}$, it follows that $\mathfrak{A}_{2,00}$ is full in $\mathfrak{A}_{00}$. Then (by Proposition 2.6), $\mathfrak{A}_{00}$ is simple.

Let $i \in \{1,2\}$. We now show that $r_0 p_i$ is full in

$$\mathfrak{A}_0 \cap \bigcap_{\substack{(i',1)\in L_0 \\ i'\neq i}} \ker \pi_{(i',1)}. \tag{28}$$

Suppose $\mathcal{I}$ is an ideal of the algebra in (28) containing $r_0 p_i$. Since $r_{2,0} \le r_0$ and (by Lemma 4.1) $r_{2,0} p_i$ is full in

$$\mathfrak{A}_{2,0} \cap \bigcap_{\substack{(i',1)\in L_0 \\ i'\neq i}} \ker \pi^{(2)}_{(i',1)}, \tag{29}$$

$\mathcal{I}$ must contain the algebra in (29). Hence, arguing as above, $\mathfrak{A}_{1,0} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{A}_{2,0} \cap \bigcap_{\substack{(i',1)\in L_0 \\ i'\neq i}} \ker\pi^{(2)}_{(i',1)} + \mathfrak{A}_{2,0}\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}(1-p_1-p_2)+ \\ + (1-p_1-p_2)\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}\mathfrak{A}_{2,0} + (1-p_1-p_2)\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}\mathfrak{A}_{2,0}\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}(1-p_1-p_2) \subseteq \mathcal{I},\end{aligned}$$

proving that the algebra of (28) is contained in $\mathcal{I}$.

Similarly, since $r_{2,0}((p_1+p_2)\wedge q_1)$ is full in $\mathfrak{A}_{2,0}$, it follows that $r_0 q_1$ is full in $\mathfrak{A}_0$.

If $\phi|_{A_0}$ is a trace then from Lemma 4.1 we have that $\mathfrak{A}_2$ and indeed $\mathfrak{A}_{2,0}$ has stable rank 1. The fullness of $\mathfrak{A}_{2,0}$ in $\mathfrak{A}_0$ implies (via Proposition 2.5(i)) that $\mathfrak{A}_0$ has stable rank 1, hence $\mathfrak{A}$ has stable rank 1.

Finally, concerning existence and uniqueness of traces, from Lemma 4.1 we have that $\mathfrak{A}_{2,00}$ has a tracial state if and only if $\phi|_{A_0}$ is a trace, and then $\phi(r_{2,0})^{-1}\phi|_{\mathfrak{A}_{2,00}}$ is its unique tracial state. The same statement for $\mathfrak{A}_{00}$ then follows from fullness of $\mathfrak{A}_{2,00}$ in $\mathfrak{A}_{00}$ and Proposition 2.5(ii). (One can easily check the normalization.)

Case III(4.2). $\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\beta_2 > 1$.

Since $\beta_2 \le \frac{1}{2}$ we must have $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha_1+\alpha_2$. Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$ be least such that $\alpha_1+\alpha_2 \le \frac{n}{n+1}$. Thus $n \ge 2$. We will proceed by induction on n, proving the case $n=2$ and the inductive step simultaneously. Applying Lemma 4.1 to (25) we have

$$\mathfrak{A}_1 = \overset{r_{1,0}}{\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}} \oplus \underset{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\beta_1-1}{\overset{(p_1+p_2)\wedge q_1}{\mathbf{C}}} \oplus \underset{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\beta_2-1}{\overset{(p_1+p_2)\wedge q_2}{\mathbf{C}}},$$

where $r_{1,0} = 1 - (p_1 + p_2) \wedge q_1 - (p_1 + p_2) \wedge q_2$, where the centralizer of $\phi|_{\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}}$ has a diffuse abelian subalgebra containing $r_{1,0}(p_1 + p_2)$ and where $\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}$ is simple. Thus from (26),

$$(p_1+p_2)\mathfrak{A}(p_1+p_2) \cong \Big((p_1+p_2)\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}(p_1+p_2) \oplus \underset{\frac{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\beta_1-1}{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}}{\overset{(p_1+p_2)\wedge q_1}{\mathbf{C}}} \oplus \underset{\frac{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\beta_2-1}{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}}{\overset{(p_1+p_2)\wedge q_2}{\mathbf{C}}}\Big) * \Big(\underset{\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}}{\overset{p_1}{\mathbf{C}}} \oplus \underset{\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}}{\overset{p_2}{\mathbf{C}}}\Big).$$

Now since $\frac{n-1}{n} < \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$, we have

$$\frac{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\beta_1-1}{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} + \frac{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\beta_2-1}{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} = 2 - \frac{1}{\alpha_2+\alpha_2} < \frac{n-2}{n-1}.$$

The inductive hypothesis (or, when $n \in \{2, 3\}$, the previously considered Case I or Case II,) applies and we have, with L_+ as in (24),

$$(p_1+p_2)\mathfrak{A}(p_1+p_2) = \overset{r_{2,0}}{\mathfrak{A}_{2,0}} \oplus \bigoplus_{(i,j)\in L_+} \underset{\frac{\alpha_i+\beta_j-1}{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}}{\overset{p_i\wedge q_j}{\mathbf{C}}},$$

where $r_{2,0} = p_1 + p_2 - \sum_{(i,j)\in L_+} p_i \wedge q_j$. We obtain that

$$\mathfrak{A} = \overset{r_0}{\mathfrak{A}_0} \oplus \bigoplus_{(i,j)\in L_+} \underset{\alpha_i+\beta_j-1}{\overset{p_i\wedge q_j}{\mathbf{C}}}$$

and that the span of (27) is dense in $\mathfrak{A}_0$. So $r_0 = r_{2,0} + (1 - p_1 - p_2)$. Letting D be a diffuse abelian subalgebra of the centralizer of $\phi|_{\mathfrak{A}_{2,0}}$ containing $\{r_{2,0}p_1, r_{2,0}p_2\}$ and D' be a diffuse abelian subalgebra of the centralizer of $\phi|_{A_0}$, we see that $D + D'$ is a diffuse abelian subalgebra of the centralizer of ϕ and contains $\{r_0p_1, r_0p_2\}$.

Let D be a diffuse abelian subalgebra of the centralizer of $\phi|_{\mathfrak{A}_{2,0}}$ that contains $\{((p_1 + p_2) \wedge q_1)r_{2,0}, ((p_1 + p_2) \wedge q_2)r_{2,0}\}$ and let D' be a diffuse abelian subalgebra of the centralizer of $\phi|_{\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}}$ that contains $\{r_{1,0}q_1, r_{1,0}q_2\}$. Then

$$r_{2,0}((p_1+p_2)\wedge q_1)D + r_{2,0}((p_1+p_2)\wedge q_2)D + D'$$

is a diffuse abelian subalgebra of the centralizer of $\phi|_{\mathfrak{A}_0}$ and contains $\{r_0q_1, r_0q_2\}$.

Since $r_{1,0}(p_1 + p_2) \in \mathfrak{A}_{2,0}$ and is full in $\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}$, it follows that $\mathfrak{A}_{2,0}$ is full in $\mathfrak{A}_0$. If L_0, as in (24), is empty then $\mathfrak{A}_{2,0}$ is simple, hence (by Proposition 2.6) $\mathfrak{A}_0$ is also simple.

If L_0 is nonempty, then for every $(i, j) \in L_0$ there is a $*$–homomorphism $\pi^{(2)}_{(i,j)} : \mathfrak{A}_{2,0} \to \mathbf{C}$ such that $\pi^{(2)}_{(i,j)}(r_{2,0}p_i) = 1 = \pi^{(2)}_{(i,j)}(r_{2,0}((p_1+p_2)\wedge q_j))$, and this extends to a $*$–homomorphism $\pi_{(i,j)} : \mathfrak{A}_0 \to \mathbf{C}$ such that $\pi_{(i,j)}(r_0p_i) = 1 = \pi_{(i,j)}(r_0q_j)$ and the linear span of

$$\begin{aligned} &\ker \pi^{(2)}_{(i,j)} + \mathfrak{A}_{2,0}\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}(1-p_1-p_2) + (1-p_1-p_2)\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}\mathfrak{A}_{2,0} + \\ &\qquad + (1-p_1-p_2)\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}\mathfrak{A}_{2,0}\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}(1-p_1-p_2) + (1-p_1-p_2)\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}(1-p_1-p_2) \end{aligned}$$

is dense $\ker \pi_{(i,j)}$.

Let

$$\mathfrak{A}_{2,00} = \mathfrak{A}_{2,0} \cap \bigcap_{(i,j)\in L_0} \ker \pi^{(2)}_{(i,j)}.$$

From the application of Lemma 4.1, $\mathfrak{A}_{2,00}$ is simple. Since $\mathfrak{A}_{2,00}$ contains $r_{1,0}(p_1+p_2)$, which is full in $\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}$, it follows that $\mathfrak{A}_{2,00}$ is full in $\mathfrak{A}_{00}$. Then (by Proposition 2.6), $\mathfrak{A}_{00}$ is simple.

Let $i \in \{1,2\}$. We will now show that r_0p_i is full in

$$\mathfrak{A}_0 \cap \bigcap_{\substack{(i',j)\in L_0\\ i'\neq i}} \ker \pi_{(i',j)}. \tag{30}$$

Suppose $\mathcal{I}$ is an ideal of the algebra in (30) which contains r_0p_i. Since $r_{2,0} \leq r_0$ and since $r_{2,0}p_i$ is full in

$$\mathfrak{A}_{2,0} \cap \bigcap_{\substack{(i',j)\in L_0\\ i'\neq i}} \ker \pi^{(2)}_{(i',j)},$$

this algebra must be contained in $\mathcal{I}$. Then $r_{1,0}(p_1+p_2) \in \mathcal{I}$. Since $\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}$ is simple, it is then contained in $\mathcal{I}$. Hence

$$\mathfrak{A}_{2,0} \cap \bigcap_{\substack{(i',j)\in L_0\\ i'\neq i}} \ker \pi^{(2)}_{(i',j)} + \mathfrak{A}_{2,0}\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}(1-p_1-p_2)+$$
$$+(1-p_1-p_2)\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}\mathfrak{A}_{2,0} + (1-p_1-p_2)\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}\mathfrak{A}_{2,0}\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}(1-p_1-p_2) \subseteq \mathcal{I},$$

proving that the algebra of (30) is contained in $\mathcal{I}$.

Let $j \in \{1,2\}$. We now show that r_0q_j is full in

$$\mathfrak{A}_0 \cap \bigcap_{\substack{(i,j')\in L_0\\ j'\neq j}} \ker \pi_{(i,j')}. \tag{31}$$

Suppose $\mathcal{I}$ is an ideal of the algebra in (31) which contains r_0q_j. Since $r_{2,0} \leq r_0$ and since $r_{2,0}q_j$ is full in

$$\mathfrak{A}_{2,0} \cap \bigcap_{\substack{(i,j')\in L_0\\ j'\neq j}} \ker \pi^{(2)}_{(i,j')},$$

this algebra must be contained in $\mathcal{I}$. Then $r_{1,0}(p_1+p_2) \in \mathcal{I}$, which as before shows that the algebra of (31) is contained in $\mathcal{I}$.

The required results about the stable rank of $\mathfrak{A}$ and the existence and uniqueness of traces on $\mathfrak{A}_{00}$ follow from the inductive hypothesis because (in the simple case) $\mathfrak{A}_{2,0}$ is full in $\mathfrak{A}_0$ or (more generally) $\mathfrak{A}_{2,00}$ is full in $\mathfrak{A}_{00}$.

□

Lemma 4.3. *Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $n \geq 3$ and let*

$$(\mathfrak{A}, \phi) = (\underset{\alpha_1}{\overset{p_1}{\mathbf{C}}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \underset{\alpha_n}{\overset{p_n}{\mathbf{C}}}) * (\underset{\beta_1}{\overset{q_1}{\mathbf{C}}} \oplus \underset{\beta_2}{\overset{q_2}{\mathbf{C}}}).$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} L_+ &= \{(i,j) \mid \alpha_i + \beta_j > 1\} \\ L_0 &= \{(i,j) \mid \alpha_i + \beta_j = 1\}. \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Then

$$\mathfrak{A} = \overset{r_0}{\mathfrak{A}_0} \oplus \bigoplus_{(i,j) \in L_+} \underset{\alpha_i + \beta_j - 1}{\overset{p_i \wedge q_j}{\mathbf{C}}},$$

where the centralizer of $\phi|_{\mathfrak{A}_0}$ has a diffuse abelian subalgebra which contains $r_0 p_1$ and a diffuse abelian subalgebra which contains $r_0 q_1$.

The stable rank of $\mathfrak{A}$ is 1.

If L_0 is empty then $\mathfrak{A}_0$ is simple and $\phi(r_0)^{-1}\phi|_{\mathfrak{A}_0}$ is the unique tracial state on $\mathfrak{A}_0$.

If L_0 is not empty, then for every $(i,j) \in L_0$ there is a $*$–homomorphism $\pi_{(i,j)} : \mathfrak{A}_0 \to \mathbf{C}$ such that $\pi_{(i,j)}(r_0 p_i) = 1 = \pi_{(i,j)}(r_0 q_j)$. Then

(i)

$$\mathfrak{A}_{00} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \bigcap_{(i,j) \in L_0} \ker \pi_{(i,j)}$$

is simple and $\phi(r_0)^{-1}\phi|_{\mathfrak{A}_{00}}$ is the unique tracial state on $\mathfrak{A}_0$.

(ii) *For each $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, $r_0 p_i$ is full in*

$$\mathfrak{A}_0 \cap \bigcap_{\substack{(i',j) \in L_0 \\ i' \neq i}} \ker \pi_{(i',j)}. \tag{33}$$

(iii) *For each $j \in \{1, 2\}$, $r_0 q_j$ is full in*

$$\mathfrak{A}_0 \cap \bigcap_{\substack{(i,j') \in L_0 \\ j' \neq j}} \ker \pi_{(i,j')}. \tag{34}$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on n, proving the initial step $n = 3$ and the inductive step simultaneously. Let $\mathfrak{A}_1$ be the C*–subalgebra of $\mathfrak{A}$ generated by $(\mathbf{C}(p_1 + p_2) + \mathbf{C}p_3 + \cdots \mathbf{C}p_n) \cup (\mathbf{C}q_1 + \mathbf{C}q_2)$. Thus

$$(\mathfrak{A}_1, \phi|_{\mathfrak{A}_1}) \cong (\underset{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{\overset{p_1 + p_2}{\mathbf{C}}} \oplus \underset{\alpha_3}{\overset{p_3}{\mathbf{C}}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \underset{\alpha_n}{\overset{p_n}{\mathbf{C}}}) * (\underset{\beta_1}{\overset{q_1}{\mathbf{C}}} \oplus \underset{\beta_2}{\overset{q_2}{\mathbf{C}}}).$$

By the inductive hypothesis when $n > 3$ or by Proposition 2.7 when $n = 3$, letting

$$\begin{aligned} L_+ &= \{(i,j) \mid \alpha_i + \beta_j > 1\} & L_0 &= \{(i,j) \mid \alpha_i + \beta_j = 1\} \\ L_+^{(1)} &= \{(i,j) \mid i \geq 3,\ \alpha_i + \beta_j > 1\} & L_0^{(1)} &= \{(i,j) \mid i \geq 3,\ \alpha_i + \beta_j = 1\} \\ L'_+ &= \{j \mid \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_j > 1\} & L'_0 &= \{j \mid \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_j = 1\} \\ L_+^{(2)} &= L_+ \backslash L_+^{(1)} & L_0^{(2)} &= L_0 \backslash L_0^{(1)}, \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

we have

$$\mathfrak{A}_1 = \overset{r_{1,0}}{\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}} \oplus \bigoplus_{j \in L'_+} \underset{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\beta_j-1}{\overset{(p_1+p_2)\wedge q_j}{\mathbf{C}}} \oplus \bigoplus_{(i,j)\in L_+^{(1)}} \underset{\alpha_i+\beta_j-1}{\overset{p_i \wedge q_j}{\mathbf{C}}},$$

and there is a diffuse abelian subalgebra of the centralizer of $\phi|_{\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}}$ containing $r_{1,0}(p_1+p_2)$. By Proposition 2.8, $(p_1+p_2)\mathfrak{A}(p_1+p_2)$ is freely generated by $(p_1+p_2)\mathfrak{A}_1(p_1+p_2)$ and $(\mathbf{C}p_1+\mathbf{C}p_2)$, so

$$(p_1+p_2)\mathfrak{A}(p_1+p_2) \cong \left((p_1+p_2)\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}(p_1+p_2) \oplus \bigoplus_{j\in L'_+} \underset{\frac{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\beta_j-1}{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}}{\overset{(p_1+p_2)\wedge q_j}{\mathbf{C}}} \right) * \left(\underset{\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}}{\overset{p_1}{\mathbf{C}}} \oplus \underset{\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}}{\overset{p_2}{\mathbf{C}}} \right).$$

Noting that $|L'_+| \leq 2$, we may use one of Lemma 4.2, Lemma 4.1 or results from §3 to show

$$(p_1+p_2)\mathfrak{A}(p_1+p_2) = \overset{r_{2,0}}{\mathfrak{A}_{2,0}} \oplus \bigoplus_{(i,j)\in L_+^{(2)}} \underset{\alpha_i+\beta_j-1}{\overset{p_i\wedge q_j}{\mathbf{C}}}.$$

Hence

$$\mathfrak{A} = \overset{r_0}{\mathfrak{A}_0} \oplus \bigoplus_{(i,j)\in L_+} \underset{\alpha_i+\beta_j-1}{\overset{p_i\wedge q_j}{\mathbf{C}}}, \tag{36}$$

where $r_0 = r_{2,0} + r_{1,0}(1-p_1-p_2)$ and the linear span of the set in (27) is dense in $\mathfrak{A}_0$.

The inductive hypothesis (or Proposition 2.7) yields for every $(i,j) \in L_0^{(1)}$ a $*$–homomorphism $\pi^{(1)}_{(i,j)} : \mathfrak{A}_{1,0} \to \mathbf{C}$ such that $\pi^{(1)}_{(i,j)}(r_{1,0}p_i) = 1 = \pi^{(1)}_{(i,j)}(r_{1,0}q_j)$, and for every $(i,j) \in L_0^{(2)}$ a $*$–homomorphism $\pi^{(2)}_{(i,j)} : \mathfrak{A}_{2,0} \to \mathbf{C}$ such that $\pi^{(2)}_{(i,j)}(r_{2,0}p_i) = 1 = \pi^{(2)}_{(i,j)}(r_{2,0}q_j)$. Looking at (27), one easily sees that each of these $*$–homomorphisms can be uniquely extended to a $*$–homomorphism, $\pi_{(i,j)} : \mathfrak{A}_0 \to \mathbf{C}$, so that $\pi_{(i,j)}(r_0p_i) = 1 = \pi_{(i,j)}(r_0q_j)$.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{A}_{1,00} &= \mathfrak{A}_{1,0} \cap \bigcap_{(i,j)\in L_0^{(1)}} \ker \pi^{(1)}_{(i,j)}, \\ \mathfrak{A}_{2,00} &= \mathfrak{A}_{2,0} \cap \bigcap_{(i,j)\in L_0^{(2)}} \ker \pi^{(2)}_{(i,j)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $r_{1,0}(p_1+p_2)\in\mathfrak{A}_{1,00}\cap\mathfrak{A}_{2,00}$, we see from the denseness of the span of (27) in $\mathfrak{A}_0$ that the linear span of

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{A}_{2,0}+\mathfrak{A}_{2,00}\mathfrak{A}_{1,00}(1-p_1-p_2)+(1-p_1-p_2)\mathfrak{A}_{1,00}\mathfrak{A}_{2,00}+&\\ +(1-p_1-p_2)\mathfrak{A}_{1,00}\mathfrak{A}_{2,00}\mathfrak{A}_{1,00}(1-p_1-p_2)+(1-p_1-p_2)\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}(1-p_1-p_2)&\end{aligned}\tag{37}$$

is dense in $\mathfrak{A}_0$ and hence the linear span of

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{A}_{2,00}+\mathfrak{A}_{2,00}\mathfrak{A}_{1,00}(1-p_1-p_2)+(1-p_1-p_2)\mathfrak{A}_{1,00}\mathfrak{A}_{2,00}+&\\ +(1-p_1-p_2)\mathfrak{A}_{1,00}\mathfrak{A}_{2,00}\mathfrak{A}_{1,00}(1-p_1-p_2)+(1-p_1-p_2)\mathfrak{A}_{1,00}(1-p_1-p_2)&\end{aligned}$$

is dense in $\mathfrak{A}_{00}$. Note that $r_{1,0}(p_1+p_2)$ is full in $\mathfrak{A}_{1,00}$. Since $r_{1,0}(p_1+p_2)\in\mathfrak{A}_{2,00}$, this implies that $\mathfrak{A}_{2,00}$ is full in $\mathfrak{A}_{00}$. Since $\mathfrak{A}_{2,00}$ is simple, it follows from Proposition 2.6 that $\mathfrak{A}_{00}$ is simple. (This also shows that $\mathfrak{A}_0$ is simple when $L_0=\emptyset$.)

Let us now prove part (ii). If $i\in\{1,2\}$ then the linear span of

$$\begin{aligned}\Bigg(\mathfrak{A}_{2,0}\cap\bigcap_{\substack{(i',j)\in L_0^{(2)}\\ i'\neq i}}\ker^{(2)}_{(i',j)}\Bigg)+\mathfrak{A}_{2,00}\mathfrak{A}_{1,00}(1-p_1-p_2)+(1-p_1-p_2)\mathfrak{A}_{1,00}\mathfrak{A}_{2,00}+&\\ +(1-p_1-p_2)\mathfrak{A}_{1,00}\mathfrak{A}_{2,00}\mathfrak{A}_{1,00}(1-p_1-p_2)+(1-p_1-p_2)\mathfrak{A}_{1,00}(1-p_1-p_2)&\end{aligned}$$

is dense in (33). But $r_{2,0}p_i$ is full in

$$\mathfrak{A}_{2,0}\cap\bigcap_{\substack{(i',j)\in L_0^{(2)}\\ i'\neq i}}\ker^{(2)}_{(i',j)}.$$

Since this latter algebra contains $r_{1,0}(p_1+p_2)$, which is full in $\mathfrak{A}_{1,00}$ it then follows that r_0p_i is full in the algebra (33). Now take $i\in\{3,4,\ldots,n\}$. For $j\in L_0'$ let $\pi^{(1)}_{(0,j)}:\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}\to\mathbf{C}$ be the $*$–homomorphism such that $\pi^{(1)}_{(0,j)}(p_1+p_2)=1=\pi^{(1)}_{(0,j)}(q_j)$. We have that $r_{1,0}p_i$ is full in

$$\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}\cap\bigcap_{j\in L_0'}\ker\pi^{(1)}_{(0,j)}\cap\bigcap_{\substack{(i',j)\in L_0^{(1)}\\ i'\neq i}}\ker\pi^{(1)}_{(i',j)},$$

which in turn contains $(1-p_1-p_2)\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}(p_1+p_2)$ and

$$(1-p_1-p_2)\Bigg(\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}\cap\bigcap_{\substack{(i',j)\in L_0^{(1)}\\ i'\neq i}}\ker\pi^{(1)}_{(i',j)}\Bigg)(1-p_1-p_2).$$

But $(p_1+p_2)(\bigcap_{j\in L_0'}\ker\pi^{(1)}_{(0,j)})(p_1+p_2)$ meets $\mathfrak{A}_{2,00}$, which is simple. Hence any ideal of the algebra (34) which contains r_0p_i must also contain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{A}_{2,00}+\mathfrak{A}_{2,00}\mathfrak{A}_{1,00}(1-p_1-p_2)+(1-p_1-p_2)\mathfrak{A}_{1,00}\mathfrak{A}_{2,00}+&\\ +(1-p_1-p_2)\mathfrak{A}_{1,00}\mathfrak{A}_{2,00}\mathfrak{A}_{1,00}(1-p_1-p_2)+&\\ +(1-p_1-p_2)\Bigg(\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}\cap\bigcap_{\substack{(i',j)\in L_0^{(1)}\\ i'\neq i}}\ker\pi^{(1)}_{(i',j)}\Bigg)(1-p_1-p_2),&\end{aligned}$$

which is dense in the algebra (34). This shows that $r_0 p_i$ is full in (34).

We now prove part (iii). We have that $r_{1,0} q_j$ is full in

$$\mathfrak{A}_{1,0} \cap \bigcap_{\substack{j' \in L_0' \\ j' \neq j}} \ker \pi^{(1)}_{(0,j')} \cap \bigcap_{\substack{(i,j') \in L_0^{(1)} \\ j' \neq j}} \ker \pi^{(1)}_{(i,j')}, \tag{38}$$

which in turn contains $(1 - p_1 - p_2)\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}(p_1 + p_2)$ and

$$(1 - p_1 - p_2)\Bigg(\mathfrak{A}_{1,0} \cap \bigcap_{\substack{(i,j') \in L_0^{(1)} \\ j' \neq j}} \ker \pi^{(1)}_{(i,j')}\Bigg)(1 - p_1 - p_2).$$

If $\exists i$ such that $(i,j) \in L_0^{(2)}$ then $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_j > 1$ so $(p_1 + p_2) \wedge q_j \neq 0$ and $r_{2,0}((p_1 + p_2) \wedge q_j)$ is full in

$$\mathfrak{A}_{2,0} \cap \bigcap_{\substack{(i,j') \in L_0^{(2)} \\ j' \neq j}} \ker \pi^{(2)}_{(i,j')}. \tag{39}$$

Hence any ideal of the algebra (34) that contains $r_0 q_j$ must contain

$$\begin{aligned}\Bigg(\mathfrak{A}_{2,0} \cap \bigcap_{\substack{(i,j') \in L_0^{(2)} \\ j' \neq j}} \ker^{(2)}_{(i,j')}\Bigg) &+ \mathfrak{A}_{2,00}\mathfrak{A}_{1,00}(1 - p_1 - p_2) + (1 - p_1 - p_2)\mathfrak{A}_{1,00}\mathfrak{A}_{2,00} + \\ &+ (1 - p_1 - p_2)\mathfrak{A}_{1,00}\mathfrak{A}_{2,00}\mathfrak{A}_{1,00}(1 - p_1 - p_2) + \\ &+ (1 - p_1 - p_2)\Bigg(\mathfrak{A}_{1,0} \cap \bigcap_{\substack{(i,j') \in L_0^{(1)} \\ j' \neq j}} \ker^{(1)}_{(i,j')}\Bigg)(1 - p_1 - p_2),\end{aligned}$$

whose span is dense in (34). On the other hand, if there is no i such that $(i,j) \in L_0^{(2)}$ then the algebra (39) is $\mathfrak{A}_{2,00}$, which is simple. By a dimension argument, the algebra (38) meets $\mathfrak{A}_{2,00}$. Hence any ideal of the algebra (34) that contains $r_0 q_j$ must contain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{A}_{2,00} &+ \mathfrak{A}_{2,00}\mathfrak{A}_{1,00}(1 - p_1 - p_2) + (1 - p_1 - p_2)\mathfrak{A}_{1,00}\mathfrak{A}_{2,00} + \\ &+ (1 - p_1 - p_2)\mathfrak{A}_{1,00}\mathfrak{A}_{2,00}\mathfrak{A}_{1,00}(1 - p_1 - p_2) + \\ &+ (1 - p_1 - p_2)\Bigg(\mathfrak{A}_{1,0} \cap \bigcap_{\substack{(i,j') \in L_0^{(1)} \\ j' \neq j}} \ker^{(1)}_{(i,j')}\Bigg)(1 - p_1 - p_2),\end{aligned}$$

whose span is dense in (34). Thus $r_0 q_j$ is full in (34).

The required results about stable rank and uniqueness of the trace follow as in previous lemmas from the fact that $\mathfrak{A}_{2,00}$ is full in $\mathfrak{A}_{00}$.

□

Lemma 4.4. *Let $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$ and let*

$$(\mathfrak{A}, \phi) = (A_0 \oplus \underset{\alpha_1}{\overset{p_1}{\mathbf{C}}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \underset{\alpha_n}{\overset{p_n}{\mathbf{C}}}) * (\underset{\beta_1}{\overset{q_1}{\mathbf{C}}} \oplus \underset{\beta_2}{\overset{q_2}{\mathbf{C}}}),$$

where the centralizer of $\phi|_{A_0}$ has a diffuse abelian subalgebra. Let L_+ and L_0 be as in (32). Then

$$\mathfrak{A} = \overset{r_0}{\mathfrak{A}_0} \oplus \bigoplus_{(i,j) \in L_+} \underset{\alpha_i + \beta_j - 1}{\overset{p_i \wedge q_j}{\mathbf{C}}}, \tag{40}$$

where the centralizer of $\phi|_{\mathfrak{A}_0}$ has a diffuse abelian subalgebra which contains $r_0 p_1$ and a diffuse abelian subalgebra which contains $r_0 q_1$.

If $\phi|_{A_0}$ is a trace then the stable rank of $\mathfrak{A}$ is 1.

If L_0 is empty then $\mathfrak{A}_0$ is simple. If, in addition, $\phi|_{A_0}$ is a trace then $\phi(r_0)^{-1}\phi|_{\mathfrak{A}_0}$ is the unique tracial state on $\mathfrak{A}_0$ and if $\phi|_{A_0}$ is not a trace then $\mathfrak{A}_0$ has no tracial states.

If L_0 is not empty, then for every $(i,j) \in L_0$ there is a $*$–homomorphism $\pi_{(i,j)} : \mathfrak{A}_0 \to \mathbf{C}$ such that $\pi_{(i,j)}(r_0 p_i) = 1 = \pi_{(i,j)}(r_0 q_j)$. Then

(i)

$$\mathfrak{A}_{00} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \bigcap_{(i,j) \in L_0} \ker \pi_{(i,j)}$$

is simple. If $\phi|_{A_0}$ is a trace then $\phi(r_0)^{-1}\phi|_{\mathfrak{A}_{00}}$ is the unique tracial state on $\mathfrak{A}_0$ and if $\phi|_{A_0}$ is not a trace then $\mathfrak{A}_{00}$ has no tracial states.

(ii) *For each $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, $r_0 p_i$ is full in*

$$\mathfrak{A}_0 \cap \bigcap_{\substack{(i',j) \in L_0 \\ i' \neq i}} \ker \pi_{(i',j)}. \tag{41}$$

(iii) *For each $j \in \{1, 2\}$, $r_0 q_j$ is full in*

$$\mathfrak{A}_0 \cap \bigcap_{\substack{(i,j') \in L_0 \\ j' \neq j}} \ker \pi_{(i,j')}. \tag{42}$$

Proof. The cases $n = 0, 1, 2$ have been already proved. Let $p_0 = 1 - \sum_1^n p_j$ and let $\mathfrak{A}_1$ be the C*–subalgebra of $\mathfrak{A}$ generated by $(\mathbf{C}p_0 + \mathbf{C}p_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{C}p_n) \cup (\mathbf{C}q_1 + \mathbf{C}q_2)$, so that

$$(\mathfrak{A}_1, \phi|_{\mathfrak{A}_1}) = (\underset{\alpha_0}{\overset{p_0}{\mathbf{C}}} \oplus \underset{\alpha_1}{\overset{p_1}{\mathbf{C}}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \underset{\alpha_n}{\overset{p_n}{\mathbf{C}}}) * (\underset{\beta_1}{\overset{q_1}{\mathbf{C}}} \oplus \underset{\beta_2}{\overset{q_2}{\mathbf{C}}}).$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} L_+^{(0)} &= \{(0,j) \mid \alpha_0 + \beta_j > 1\} \\ L_0^{(0)} &= \{(0,j) \mid \alpha_0 + \beta_j = 1\} \end{aligned}$$

We use Lemma 4.3 to find

$$\mathfrak{A}_1 = \overset{r_{1,0}}{\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}} \oplus \bigoplus_{(i,j)\in L_+\cup L_+^{(0)}} \underset{\alpha_i+\beta_j-1}{\overset{p_i\wedge q_j}{\mathbf{C}}}.$$

Then by Proposition 2.8, $p_0\mathfrak{A}_1p_0$ and A_0 freely generate $p_0\mathfrak{A}p_0$. Hence by Proposition 3.2, $p_0\mathfrak{A}p_0$ is simple. So (40) holds where $r_0 = p_0 + (1-p_0)r_{1,0}$ and where the linear span of

$$p_0\mathfrak{A}p_0+p_0\mathfrak{A}p_0\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}(1-p_0)+(1-p_0)\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}p_0\mathfrak{A}p_0+(1-p_0)\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}p_0\mathfrak{A}p_0\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}(1-p_0)+(1-p_0)\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}(1-p_0) \tag{43}$$

is dense in $\mathfrak{A}_0$. The application of Lemma 4.3 gives for each $(i,j) \in L_0 \cup L_0^{(0)}$ a $*$–homomorphism $\pi^{(1)}_{(i,j)} : \mathfrak{A}_{1,0} \to \mathbf{C}$ such that $\pi^{(1)}_{(i,j)}(r_{1,0}p_i) = 1 = \pi^{(1)}_{(i,j)}(r_{1,0}q_j)$ and then $r_{1,0}p_0$ is full in

$$\mathfrak{A}_{1,0} \cap \bigcap_{(i,j)\in L_0} \ker \pi^{(1)}_{(i,j)}. \tag{44}$$

For each $(i,j) \in L_0$, $\pi^{(1)}_{(i,j)}$ extends to a $*$–homomorphism $\pi_{(i,j)} : \mathfrak{A}_0 \to \mathbf{C}$ whose kernel is densely spanned by

$$\begin{aligned} &p_0\mathfrak{A}p_0 + p_0\mathfrak{A}p_0\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}(1-p_0) + (1-p_0)\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}p_0\mathfrak{A}p_0 + \\ &\qquad + (1-p_0)\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}p_0\mathfrak{A}p_0\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}(1-p_0) + (1-p_0)(\ker \pi^{(1)}_{(i,j)})(1-p_0). \end{aligned}$$

Since the algebra (44) contains both $(1-p_0)\big(\mathfrak{A}_{1,0} \cap \bigcap_{(i,j)\in L_0} \ker \pi^{(1)}_{(i,j)}(1-p_0)\big)$ and $(1-p_0)\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}p_0$, from the denseness of (43) in $\mathfrak{A}_0$ we see that p_0 is full in $\mathfrak{A}_{00}$. Since $p_0\mathfrak{A}p_0$ is simple, by Proposition 2.6 this implies that $\mathfrak{A}_{00}$ is simple, (hence when L_0 is empty, $\mathfrak{A}_0$ is simple).

From the facts, for $(i,j) \in L_0$, that $r_{1,0}p_i$ is full in

$$\mathfrak{A}_{1,0} \cap \bigcap_{(0,j)\in L_0^{(0)}} \ker \pi^{(1)}_{(0,j)} \cap \bigcap_{\substack{(i'.j)\in L_0 \\ i'\neq i}} \ker \pi^{(1)}_{(i',j)},$$

which by a dimension argument contains a nonzero element of $p_0\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}p_0$, and that every positive element of $p_0\mathfrak{A}p_0$ is full in $\mathfrak{A}_{00}$, we obtain that r_0p_i is full in (41), proving (ii).

Because $r_{1,0}q_j$ is full in

$$\mathfrak{A}_{1,0} \cap \bigcap_{\substack{(0,j')\in L_0^{(0)} \\ j'\neq j}} \ker \pi^{(1)}_{(0,j)} \cap \bigcap_{\substack{(i.j')\in L_0 \\ j'\neq j}} \ker \pi^{(1)}_{(i,j')},$$

which meets $p_0\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}p_0$, we similarly obtain that r_0q_j is full in (42), proving (iii).

If $\phi|_{A_0}$ is a trace then, by Propositions 3.2 and 3.4, $p_0\mathfrak{A}p_0$ has unique tracial state and stable rank 1. Since $p_0\mathfrak{A}p_0$ is full in $\mathfrak{A}_{00}$, by Proposition 2.5 the same hold for $\mathfrak{A}_{00}$ (which is just $\mathfrak{A}_0$ when L_0 is empty) and $\phi(r_0)^{-1}\phi|_{\mathfrak{A}_{00}}$ is then seen to be the unique tracial state.

If $\phi|_{A_0}$ is not a trace then by Proposition 3.2, $p_0\mathfrak{A}p_0$ has no tracial state, so neither does $\mathfrak{A}_{00}$ have a tracial state.

□

The following proposition proves Theorem 1.

Proposition 4.5. *Let*

$$(\mathfrak{A},\phi) = (\underset{\alpha_1}{\overset{p_1}{\mathbf{C}}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \underset{\alpha_n}{\overset{p_n}{\mathbf{C}}}) * (\underset{\beta_1}{\overset{q_1}{\mathbf{C}}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \underset{\beta_m}{\overset{q_m}{\mathbf{C}}}),$$

where $n \geq 2$ *and* $m \geq 3$*. Let*

$$L_+ = \{(i,j) \mid \alpha_i + \beta_j > 1\}$$
$$L_0 = \{(i,j) \mid \alpha_i + \beta_j = 1\}.$$

Then

$$\mathfrak{A} = \overset{r_0}{\mathfrak{A}_0} \oplus \bigoplus_{(i,j)\in L_+} \underset{\alpha_i+\beta_j-1}{\overset{p_i\wedge q_j}{\mathbf{C}}}$$

where the centralizer of $\phi|_{\mathfrak{A}_0}$ *has a diffuse abelian subalgebra containing* r_0p_1.

If L_0 *is empty then* $\mathfrak{A}_0$ *is simple, nonunital and* $\phi(r_0)^{-1}\phi|_{\mathfrak{A}_0}$ *is the unique tracial state on* $\mathfrak{A}_0$.

If L_0 *is not empty, then for every* $(i,j) \in L_0$ *there is a* $*$*–homomorphism* $\pi_{(i,j)} : \mathfrak{A}_0 \to \mathbf{C}$ *such that* $\pi_{(i,j)}(r_0p_i) = 1 = \pi_{(i,j)}(r_0q_j)$*. Then*

(i)

$$\mathfrak{A}_{00} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \bigcap_{(i,j)\in L_0} \ker \pi_{(i,j)}$$

is simple and nonunital and $\phi(r_0)^{-1}\phi|_{\mathfrak{A}_{00}}$ *is the unique tracial state on* $\mathfrak{A}_{00}$.

(ii) *For each* $i \in \{1,2,\ldots,n\}$, r_0p_i *is full in* $\mathfrak{A}_0 \cap \bigcap_{\substack{(i',j)\in L_0 \\ i'\neq i}} \ker \pi_{(i',j)}$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on $\min(n,m)$. If $\min(n,m)=2$ then Lemma 4.3 applies to prove the desired results. If $\min(n,m)\ge 3$ then take $n\le m$ and let $\mathfrak{A}_1$ be the C*–subalgebra of $\mathfrak{A}$ generated by $(\mathbf{C}(p_1+p_2)+\mathbf{C}p_3+\cdots\mathbf{C}p_n)\cup(\mathbf{C}q_1+\cdots+\mathbf{C}q_m)$. Thus

$$(\mathfrak{A}_1,\phi|_{\mathfrak{A}_1}) \cong (\underset{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}{\overset{p_1+p_2}{\mathbf{C}}} \oplus \underset{\alpha_3}{\overset{p_3}{\mathbf{C}}} \oplus\cdots\oplus \underset{\alpha_n}{\overset{p_n}{\mathbf{C}}}) * (\underset{\beta_1}{\overset{q_1}{\mathbf{C}}}\oplus\cdots\oplus\underset{\beta_m}{\overset{q_m}{\mathbf{C}}}).$$

By inductive hypothesis, letting L_+, L_0, $L_+^{(1)}$, $L_0^{(1)}$, L'_+, L'_0, $L_+^{(2)}$ and $L_0^{(2)}$ be as in (35), we have

$$\mathfrak{A}_1 = \overset{r_{1,0}}{\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}} \oplus \bigoplus_{j\in L'_+} \underset{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\beta_j-1}{\overset{(p_1+p_2)\wedge q_j}{\mathbf{C}}} \oplus \bigoplus_{(i,j)\in L_+^{(1)}} \underset{\alpha_i+\beta_j-1}{\overset{p_i\wedge q_j}{\mathbf{C}}},$$

and there is a diffuse abelian subalgebra of the centralizer of $\phi|_{\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}}$ containing $r_{1,0}(p_1+p_2)$. By Proposition 2.8, $(p_1+p_2)\mathfrak{A}(p_1+p_2)$ is freely generated by $(p_1+p_2)\mathfrak{A}_1(p_1+p_2)$ and $(\mathbf{C}p_1+\mathbf{C}p_2)$, so

$$(p_1+p_2)\mathfrak{A}(p_1+p_2) \cong \left((p_1+p_2)\mathfrak{A}_{1,0}(p_1+p_2) \oplus \bigoplus_{j\in L'_+} \underset{\frac{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\beta_j-1}{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}}{\overset{(p_1+p_2)\wedge q_j}{\mathbf{C}}}\right) * \left(\underset{\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}}{\overset{p_1}{\mathbf{C}}} \oplus \underset{\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}}{\overset{p_2}{\mathbf{C}}}\right).$$

Applying Lemma 4.4 yields

$$(p_1+p_2)\mathfrak{A}(p_1+p_2) = \overset{r_{2,0}}{\mathfrak{A}_{2,0}} \oplus \bigoplus_{(i,j)\in L_+^{(2)}} \underset{\alpha_i+\beta_j-1}{\overset{p_i\wedge q_j}{\mathbf{C}}}.$$

Hence

$$\mathfrak{A} = \overset{r_0}{\mathfrak{A}_0} \oplus \bigoplus_{(i,j)\in L_+} \underset{\alpha_i+\beta_j-1}{\overset{p_i\wedge q_j}{\mathbf{C}}},$$

where $r_0=r_{2,0}+r_{1,0}(1-p_1-p_2)$ and the linear span of the set in (27) is dense in $\mathfrak{A}_0$.

Now the proof of this proposition follows verbatim the proof of Lemma 4.3 after equation (36), except we must also show that when L_0 is nonempty then $\mathfrak{A}_{00}$ is nonunital. Suppose for contradiction that $\mathfrak{A}_{00}$ is unital with identity e. Then $e\ne r_0$ but $ex=exe=xe$ for every $x\in\mathfrak{A}_0$. Thus e is in the center of $\mathfrak{A}_0$. But by the results of [**5**], the strong–operator closure of $\mathfrak{A}_0$ (in the GNS representation associated to $\phi|_{\mathfrak{A}_0}$) is a II$_1$–factor. This gives a contradiction, because e must be in the center of this von Neumann algebra.

□

Remark 4.6. By the same proof, one shows that for every nonempty subset, F, of L_0, the ideal $\bigcap_{(i,j)\in F}\ker\pi_{(i,j)}$ of $\mathfrak{A}_0$ is nonunital.

The following lemma can be proved from Proposition 4.5 using Proposition 2.8 in the same way that Lemma 4.4 was proved from Lemma 4.3.

Lemma 4.7. *Let*

$$(\mathfrak{A},\phi)=(A_0\oplus\overset{p_1}{\underset{\alpha_1}{\mathbf{C}}}\oplus\cdots\oplus\overset{p_n}{\underset{\alpha_n}{\mathbf{C}}})*(\overset{q_1}{\underset{\beta_1}{\mathbf{C}}}\oplus\cdots\oplus\overset{q_m}{\underset{\beta_m}{\mathbf{C}}}),\tag{46}$$

where the centralizer $\phi|_{A_0}$ has a diffuse abelian subalgebra and where $n\geq 1$, $m\geq 2$. Or, let

$$(\mathfrak{A},\phi)=(A_0\oplus\overset{p_1}{\underset{\alpha_1}{\mathbf{C}}}\oplus\cdots\oplus\overset{p_n}{\underset{\alpha_n}{\mathbf{C}}})*(B_0\oplus\overset{q_1}{\underset{\beta_1}{\mathbf{C}}}\oplus\cdots\oplus\overset{q_m}{\underset{\beta_m}{\mathbf{C}}}),\tag{47}$$

where the centralizer of each of $\phi|_{A_0}$ and $\phi|_{B_0}$ has a diffuse abelian subalgebra and where $n\geq 1$, $m\geq 1$.

Then

$$\mathfrak{A}=\overset{r_0}{\mathfrak{A}_0}\oplus\bigoplus_{(i,j)\in L_+}\overset{p_i\wedge q_j}{\underset{\alpha_i+\beta_j-1}{\mathbf{C}}}$$

where the centralizer of $\phi|_{\mathfrak{A}_0}$ has a diffuse abelian subalgebra containing r_0p_1 and another containing r_0q_1.

If L_0 is empty then $\mathfrak{A}_0$ is simple.

If L_0 is not empty, then for every $(i,j)\in L_0$ there is a $*$–homomorphism $\pi_{(i,j)}:\mathfrak{A}_0\to\mathbf{C}$ such that $\pi_{(i,j)}(r_0p_i)=1=\pi_{(i,j)}(r_0q_j)$. Then

(i)

$$\mathfrak{A}_{00}\overset{\text{def}}{=}\bigcap_{(i,j)\in L_0}\ker\pi_{(i,j)}$$

is simple and nonunital and $\phi(r_0)^{-1}\phi|_{\mathfrak{A}_{00}}$ is the unique tracial state on $\mathfrak{A}_{00}$.

(ii) *For each $i\in\{1,2,\ldots,n\}$, r_0p_i is full in* $\mathfrak{A}_0\cap\displaystyle\bigcap_{\substack{(i',j)\in L_0\\ i'\neq i}}\ker\pi_{(i',j)}$.

(iii) *For each $j\in\{1,2,\ldots,m\}$, r_0p_j is full in* $\mathfrak{A}_0\cap\displaystyle\bigcap_{\substack{(i,j')\in L_0\\ j'\neq j}}\ker\pi_{(i,j')}$.

Moreover, if ϕ_{A_0} is a trace (and if, in the case of (47), $\phi|_{B_0}$ is a trace) then $\mathfrak{A}$ has stable rank 1 and $\phi(r_0)^{-1}\phi$ is the unique tracial state on $\mathfrak{A}_0$ when L_0 is empty or $\mathfrak{A}_{00}$ when L_0 is nonempty. Otherwise, $\mathfrak{A}_0$, respectively $\mathfrak{A}_{00}$, has no tracial state.

As promised in §1, a result similar to 4.5 holds for free products of more than two finite dimensional abelian C*–algebras.

Proposition 4.8. *Let $N\in\mathbf{N}$, $N\geq 3$ and for each $\iota\in\{1,\ldots,N\}$ take a finite dimensional abelian C*–algebra and faithful tracial state,*

$$(A_\iota,\tau_\iota)=\overset{p_{\iota,1}}{\underset{\alpha_{\iota,1}}{\mathbf{C}}}\oplus\overset{p_{\iota,2}}{\underset{\alpha_{\iota,2}}{\mathbf{C}}}\oplus\cdots\oplus\overset{p_{\iota,n(\iota)}}{\underset{\alpha_{\iota,n(\iota)}}{\mathbf{C}}},\tag{48}$$

where $n(\iota) \in \mathbf{N}$*,* $n(\iota) \geq 2$*. Let*

$$(\mathfrak{A}, \tau) = \mathop{*}_{\iota=1}^{N} (A_\iota, \tau_\iota)$$

and let

$$\begin{aligned} L_+ &= \left\{ \big(j(\iota)\big)_{\iota=1}^{N} \;\Big|\; \sum_{\iota=1}^{N} (1 - \alpha_{\iota, j(\iota)}) < 1 \right\} \\ L_0 &= \left\{ \big(j(\iota)\big)_{\iota=1}^{N} \;\Big|\; \sum_{\iota=1}^{N} (1 - \alpha_{\iota, j(\iota)}) = 1 \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

Then

$$\mathfrak{A} = \overset{r_0}{\mathfrak{A}_0} \oplus \bigoplus_{j \in L_+} \underset{\gamma_j}{\overset{r_j}{\mathbf{C}}}$$

where for $j = (j(\iota))_{\iota=1}^{N} \in L_+$*,* $\gamma_j = 1 - \sum_{\iota=1}^{N} (1 - \alpha_{\iota, j(\iota)})$ *and* $r_j = \bigwedge_{\iota=1}^{N} p_{\iota, j(\iota)}$*. For each* $1 \leq \iota \leq N$ *and each* $1 \leq k \leq n(\iota)$*,* $\mathfrak{A}_0$ *has a diffuse abelian subalgebra which contains* $r_0 p_{\iota,k}$*. Moreover,* $\mathfrak{A}$ *has stable rank 1.*

If L_0 *is empty then* $\mathfrak{A}_0$ *is simple and* $\phi(r_0)^{-1} \phi|_{\mathfrak{A}_0}$ *is the unique tracial state on* $\mathfrak{A}_0$*.*

If L_0 *is nonempty then for every* $j = (j(\iota))_{\iota=1}^{N} \in L_0$ *there is a* $*$*–homomorphism* $\pi_j : \mathfrak{A}_0 \to \mathbf{C}$ *such that* $\forall 1 \leq \iota \leq N$ $\pi_j(p_{\iota, j(\iota)}) = 1$*. Then*

(i)

$$\mathfrak{A}_{00} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \bigcap_{j \in L_0} \ker \pi_j$$

is simple and nonunital and $\phi(r_0)^{-1} \phi|_{\mathfrak{A}_{00}}$ *is the unique tracial state on* $\mathfrak{A}_{00}$*.*

(ii) *For each* $1 \leq \iota \leq N$ *and each* $1 \leq k \leq n(\iota)$*,* $r_0 p_{\iota,k}$ *is full in*

$$\mathfrak{A}_0 \cap \bigcap_{\substack{j \in L_0 \\ j(\iota) \neq k}} \ker \pi_j.$$

Proof. The proof is by induction on N, and the case $N = 3$ and inductive step are proved simultaneously. Let $\mathfrak{A}_{N-1}$ be the C*–subalgebra of $\mathfrak{A}$ generated by $\bigcup_{\iota=1}^{N-1} A_\iota$. Use the inductive hypothesis (or, when $N = 3$, Proposition 4.5 or Proposition 2.7) to find

$$(\mathfrak{A}_{N-1}, \tau|_{\mathfrak{A}_{N-1}}) \cong \mathop{*}_{\iota=1}^{N-1} (A_\iota, \tau_\iota).$$

Then

$$(\mathfrak{A}, \tau) \cong (\mathfrak{A}_{N-1}, \tau|_{\mathfrak{A}_{N-1}}) * (A_N, \tau_N),$$

and one uses Lemma 4.7 to find $\mathfrak{A}$.

□

One can generalize this to the free product of finitely many (say N) algebras of the form $A_0 \oplus \mathbf{C} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbf{C}$, either, as Proposition 4.8 was proved, by using Lemma 4.7 and induction on N, or, as Lemma 4.4 was proved, by applying Proposition 4.8 and Lemma 3.2. One obtains the following result.

Proposition 4.9. *Let $N \in \mathbf{N}$, $N \geq 3$ and for each $\iota \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$ let (A_ι, ϕ_ι) be either a finite dimensional abelian algebra with a faithful state as in (48) or*

$$(A_\iota, \phi_\iota) = A_{\iota,0} \oplus \underset{\alpha_{\iota,1}}{\overset{p_{\iota,1}}{\mathbf{C}}} \oplus \underset{\alpha_{\iota,2}}{\overset{p_{\iota,2}}{\mathbf{C}}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \underset{\alpha_{\iota,n(\iota)}}{\overset{p_{\iota,n(\iota)}}{\mathbf{C}}}, \tag{50}$$

where $n(\iota) \in \mathbf{N}$, $n(\iota) \geq 1$ and where the centralizer of $\phi_\iota|_{A_{\iota,0}}$ has a diffuse abelian subalgebra. Let

$$(\mathfrak{A}, \tau) = \mathop{*}_{\iota=1}^{N} (A_\iota, \tau_\iota)$$

and let L_+ and L_0 be as in (49). Then

$$\mathfrak{A} = \overset{r_0}{\mathfrak{A}_0} \oplus \bigoplus_{j \in L_+} \underset{\gamma_j}{\overset{r_j}{\mathbf{C}}}$$

where for $j = (j(\iota))_{\iota=1}^{N} \in L_+$, $\gamma_j = 1 - \sum_{\iota=1}^{N} (1 - \alpha_{\iota,j(\iota)})$ and $r_j = \bigwedge_{\iota=1}^{N} p_{\iota,j(\iota)}$. If each $\phi_\iota|_{A_{\iota,0}}$ is a trace then $\mathfrak{A}$ has stable rank 1.

If L_0 is empty then $\mathfrak{A}_0$ is simple and if each $\phi_\iota|_{A_{\iota,0}}$ is a trace then $\phi(r_0)^{-1}\phi|_{\mathfrak{A}_0}$ is the unique tracial state on $\mathfrak{A}_0$. If some $\phi_\iota|_{A_{\iota,0}}$ is not a trace then $\mathfrak{A}_0$ has no tracial states.

If L_0 is nonempty then for every $j = (j(\iota))_{\iota=1}^{N} \in L_0$ there is a $*$–homomorphism $\pi_j : \mathfrak{A}_0 \to \mathbf{C}$ such that $\forall 1 \leq \iota \leq N$ $\pi_j(p_{\iota,j(\iota)}) = 1$. Then

$$\mathfrak{A}_{00} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \bigcap_{j \in L_0} \ker \pi_j$$

is simple and nonunital and if each $\phi_\iota|_{A_{\iota,0}}$ is a trace then $\phi(r_0)^{-1}\phi|_{\mathfrak{A}_{00}}$ is the unique tracial state on $\mathfrak{A}_{00}$. If some $\phi_\iota|_{A_{\iota,0}}$ is not a trace then $\mathfrak{A}_{00}$ has no tracial states.

Restricting the above proposition to the case when each $A_{\iota,0}$ is abelian, we obtain the following result about the free product of finitely many abelian C*–algebras.

Corollary 4.10. *Let $N \in \mathbf{N}$, $N \geq 2$ and for each $1 \leq \iota \leq N$ consider the abelian C*–algebra and state $(C(X_\iota), \int \cdot d\mu_\iota)$, where μ_ι is a regular, Borel probability measure on X_ι whose support is all of X_ι and having at most finitely many atoms, each of which is an isolated point of X_ι. Let*

$$(\mathfrak{A}, \tau) = \mathop{*}_{\iota=1}^{N} (C(X_\iota), \textstyle\int \cdot\, d\mu_\iota).$$

Let

$$L_+ = \left\{ x = (x_\iota)_{\iota=1}^N \,\middle|\, x_\iota \in X_\iota,\ \sum_{\iota=1}^N (1 - \mu_\iota(\{x_\iota\})) < 1 \right\}$$

$$L_0 = \left\{ x = (x_\iota)_{\iota=1}^N \,\middle|\, x_\iota \in X_\iota,\ \sum_{\iota=1}^N (1 - \mu_\iota(\{x_\iota\})) = 1 \right\}.$$

Assume that no X_ι is a one–point space and also exclude the case when $N = 2$ and X_1 and X_2 are both two–point spaces. Then $\mathfrak{A}$ has stable rank 1 and

$$\mathfrak{A} = \overset{r_0}{\mathfrak{A}_0} \oplus \bigoplus_{x \in L_+} \underset{\alpha_x}{\overset{r_x}{\mathbf{C}}} \tag{51}$$

where $\alpha_x = 1 - \sum_{\iota=1}^N (1 - \mu_\iota(\{x_\iota\}))$. If L_0 is empty the $\mathfrak{A}_0$ is simple and has unique tracial state $\tau(r_0)^{-1}\tau|_{\mathfrak{A}_0}$.

If L_0 is nonempty, then there are distinct, surjective $*$–homomorphisms, $\pi_x : \mathfrak{A}_0 \to \mathbf{C}$, $(x \in L_0)$, such that

$$\mathfrak{A}_{00} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \bigcap_{x \in L_0} \ker \pi_x$$

is simple and nonunital and has unique tracial state $\tau(r_0)^{-1}\tau|_{\mathfrak{A}_{00}}$.

§5. More general abelian C*–algebras.

In this section we will investigate free products,

$$(\mathfrak{A}, \tau) = \underset{\iota=1}{\overset{N}{*}} (C(X_\iota), \textstyle\int \cdot\, d\mu_\iota),$$

of abelian C*–algebras and states, $(C(X_\iota), \int \cdot\, d\mu_\iota)$, each of which can be written as an inductive limit of the abelian algebras and states considered in Corollary 4.10. The criterion for simplicity of the free product of such abelian algebras is the same as for finite dimensional abelian algebras, namely, $\mathfrak{A}$ is simple if and only if there are not atoms $x_\iota \in X_\iota$ of μ_ι $(1 \le \iota \le N)$ such that $\sum_1^N (1 - \mu(\{x_\iota\})) \le 1$. However, in the case when there are atoms x_ι satisfying $\sum_1^N (1 - \mu(\{x_\iota\})) < 1$, we don't always get a corresponding copy of $\mathbf{C}$ as a direct summand of $\mathfrak{A}$. In fact we get such a direct summand, i.e. a minimal and central projection in $\mathfrak{A}$, like r_x in (51), corresponding to these atoms, if and only if each x_ι is an isolated point of X_ι.

Definition 5.1. Let X be a compact, Hausdorff, topological space and let μ be a regular, Borel, probability measure on X. We say (X, μ) is *an inverse limit of spaces and measures with* *isolated atoms* if X is an inverse limit, $X = \varprojlim(X_n, \kappa_n)$, of compact Hausdorff spaces, X_n, and surjective, continuous maps, $\kappa_n : X_{n+1} \to X_n$, $(n \in \mathbf{N})$, such that letting $\lambda_n : X \to X_n$ be the resulting canonical, surjective maps and letting $\mu_n = (\lambda_n)_*(\mu)$ be the push–forward measures, each μ_n has at most finitely many atoms and each atom of μ_n is an isolated point of X_n and, moreover, if $x \in X_n$ and if $\kappa_n^{-1}(\{x\})$ has more than one point, then x is an atom of μ_n.

Examples 5.2. In each of the following cases, (X, μ) is an inverse limit of spaces and measures with isolated atoms. (One can easily cook up more intricate examples as well.)

(i) μ has no atoms
(ii) all the atoms of μ are isolated points of X
(iii) X is separable and totally disconnected
(iv) $X = \{0\} \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [\frac{1}{2n+1}, \frac{1}{2n}]$ with the relative topology from $\mathbf{R}$, and μ has a unique atom at 0.

Proposition 5.3. *Let $N \in \mathbf{N}$, $N \geq 2$, and for each $1 \leq \iota \leq N$ let (X_ι, μ_ι) be a compact Hausdorff space and a regular, Borel, probability measures, each of which is an inverse limit of spaces and measures with isolated atoms. Assume each X_ι has more than one point and each μ_ι has support equal to all of X_ι. Exclude the case when $N = 2$ and X_1 and X_2 are both two–point spaces. Let*

$$(\mathfrak{A}, \tau) = \mathop{*}_{\iota=1}^{N} (C(X_\iota), \textstyle\int \cdot \, d\mu_\iota).$$

Let

$$I_+ = \left\{ x = (x_\iota)_{\iota=1}^N \in \prod_{\iota=1}^N X_\iota \,\middle|\, \sum_{\iota=1}^N (1 - \mu_\iota(\{x_\iota\})) < 1, \quad \text{each } x_\iota \text{ is isolated in } X_\iota \right\}$$

$$L = \left\{ x = (x_\iota)_{\iota=1}^N \in \prod_{\iota=1}^N X_\iota \,\middle|\, \sum_{\iota=1}^N (1 - \mu_\iota(\{x_\iota\})) \leq 1 \right\} \bigg\backslash I_+.$$

Then $\mathfrak{A}$ has stable rank 1 and

$$\mathfrak{A} = \overset{r_0}{\mathfrak{A}_0} \oplus \bigoplus_{x \in I_+} \underset{\alpha_x}{\overset{r_x}{\mathbf{C}}}, \tag{52}$$

where $\alpha_x = 1 - \sum_{\iota=1}^N (1 - \mu_\iota(\{x_\iota\}))$ and where $r_x = \bigwedge_{\iota=1}^N p_{x_\iota}$, with $p_{x_\iota} \in C(X_\iota)$ the characteristic function of $\{x_\iota\}$. If L is empty then $\mathfrak{A}_0$ is simple and has unique tracial state $\tau(r_0)^{-1}\tau|_{\mathfrak{A}_0}$.

If L is nonempty then for every $x \in L$ there is a $*$–homomorphism $\pi_x : \mathfrak{A}_0 \to \mathbf{C}$ such that whenever $f \in C(X_\iota)$ we have $\pi_x(f) = f(x_\iota)$. Moreover,

$$\mathfrak{A}_{00} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \bigcap_{x \in L} \ker \pi_x$$

is simple and nonunital, and has unique tracial state. Finally, for each nonempty subset, $F \subseteq L$, the ideal $\bigcap_{x\in F} \ker \pi_x$ of $\mathfrak{A}_0$ is nonunital.

Proof. Let $X_\iota = \varprojlim(X_{\iota,n}, \kappa_{\iota,n})$ be an inverse limit with properties as in Definition 5.1, and let $\lambda_{\iota,n} : X_\iota \to X_{\iota,n}$ and $\mu_{\iota,n} = (\lambda_{\iota,n})_*(\mu_\iota)$ the corresponding map and measure. Thus, we may regard $C(X_{\iota,n})$ as a unital C*–subalgebra of $C(X_\iota)$, where the state $\int \cdot d\mu_\iota$ restricts to $\int \cdot d\mu_{\iota,n}$, and $C(X) = \overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty C(X_{\iota,n})}$. If $y \in X_{\iota,n}$ is an atom of $\mu_{\iota,n}$ and if $\lambda_{\iota,n}^{-1}(\{y\})$ contains no atoms of μ_ι then since $\lambda_{\iota,n}^{-1}(\{y\})$ is clopen in X_ι we may change $(X_{\iota,n}, \mu_{\iota,n})$ by substituting $\lambda_{\iota,n}^{-1}(\{y\})$ for y and changing $\mu_{\iota,n}$ accordingly. Then we must modify every $(X_{\iota,n+k}, \mu_{\iota,n+k})$ too. By doing so, we may assume without loss of generality that whenever $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $y \in X_{\iota,n}$ is an atom of $\mu_{\iota,n}$ then $\lambda_{\iota,n}^{-1}(\{y\})$ contains an atom of μ_ι.

Let $c = \max\{\mu_\iota(\{x\}) \mid x \in X_\iota,\ 1 \le \iota \le N\}$. Then $c < 1$. If $x_\iota \in X_\iota$ appears as one of the co–ordinates in an element of $L \cup I_+$ then $1 - \mu_\iota(\{x_\iota\}) + (N-1)(1-c) \le 1$, so $\mu_\iota(\{x_\iota\}) \ge (N-1)(1-c)$. Therefore, $L \cup I_+$ is finite. Moreover, if μ_ι has infinitely many atoms then their masses form a sequence tending to zero, so there is $\epsilon > 0$ such that whenever $x_\iota \in X_\iota$ $(1 \le \iota \le N)$ and $(x_\iota)_{\iota=1}^N \notin L \cup I_+$ then $\sum_{\iota=1}^N (1 - \mu(\{x_\iota\})) > 1 + \epsilon$.

Let $x_\iota \in X_\iota$ be an atom of μ_ι. If x_ι is an isolated point of X_ι then for n large enough $\lambda_{\iota,n}^{-1}(\{\lambda_{\iota,n}(x_\iota)\}) = \{x_\iota\}$ and hence the characteristic function of $\{x_\iota\}$, which we called p_{x_ι}, is in $C(X_{\iota,n})$. We assume without loss of generality that this holds for every n and for every ι. If x_ι is not an isolated point of X_ι then $\big(\lambda_{\iota,n}^{-1}(\{\lambda_{\iota,n}(x_\iota)\})\big)_{n=1}^\infty$ is a neighborhood base for x_ι and, since μ_ι is a regular measure whose support is all of X_ι, we have

$$\mu_{\iota,n}(\{\lambda_{\iota,n}(x_\iota)\}) \ge \mu_{\iota,n+1}(\{\lambda_{\iota,n+1}(x_\iota)\}) > \mu_\iota(\{x_\iota\})$$

and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu_{\iota,n}(\{\lambda_{\iota,n}(x_\iota)\}) = \mu_\iota(\{x_\iota\})$. Thus, for n large enough we have for every atom, $x_\iota \in X_\iota$ of μ_ι, that

$$\mu_{\iota,n}(\{\lambda_{\iota,n}(x_\iota)\}) < \mu_\iota(\{x_\iota\}) + \epsilon/N.$$

We assume without loss of generality this holds for every n and for every ι.

Then whenever $x_{\iota,n} \in X_{\iota,n}$ is an atom of $\mu_{\iota,n}$ and

$$\sum_{\iota=1}^N (1 - \mu_{\iota,n}(x_{\iota,n})) \le 1, \tag{53}$$

there is a unique $(x_\iota)_{\iota=1}^N \in L \cup I_+$ such that $x_{\iota,n} = \lambda_{\iota,n}(x_\iota)$, $(1 \leq \iota \leq N)$. Moreover, if $(x_\iota)_{\iota=1}^N \in I_+$ then the atoms $\lambda_{\iota,n}(x_\iota)$ and x_ι have the same mass, and if equality holds in (53) then each x_ι is an isolated point of X_ι.

Let $\mathfrak{A}_n$ be the C*–subalgebra of $\mathfrak{A}$ generated by $\bigcup_{\iota=1}^N C(X_{\iota,n})$. Then

$$\mathfrak{A}_n \cong \mathop{*}\limits_{\iota=1}^{N} (C(X_{\iota,n}), \textstyle\int \cdot \, d\mu_{\iota,n})$$

and by Corollary 4.10 and the facts discussed above we have

$$\mathfrak{A}_n = \left(\overset{r_{n,0}}{\mathfrak{A}_{n,0}} \oplus \bigoplus_{x \in L_+} \underset{\alpha_{n,x}}{\overset{r_{n,x}}{\mathbf{C}}} \right) \oplus \bigoplus_{x \in I_+} \underset{\alpha_x}{\overset{r_x}{\mathbf{C}}},$$

where I_+, α_x and r_x are as in the statement of the proposition and where

$$\begin{aligned} L_+ &= \left\{ x = (x_\iota)_{\iota=1}^N \in L \,\middle|\, \sum_{\iota=1}^N (1 - \mu_\iota(\{x_\iota\})) < 1 \right\} \\ \alpha_{n,x} &= 1 - \sum_{\iota=1}^N (1 - \mu_{\iota,n}(\{\lambda_{\iota,n}(x_\iota)\})) \\ r_{n,x} &= \bigwedge_{\iota=1}^N p_{x_\iota,n} \end{aligned}$$

where $p_{x_\iota,n} \in C(X_{\iota,n})$ is the characteristic function of $\{\lambda_{\iota,n}(x_\iota)\}$. Also, letting $L_0 = L \backslash L_+$, for each $x \in L_0$ there is a $*$–homomorphism $\pi_{n,x} : \mathfrak{A}_{n,0} \to \mathbf{C}$ sending $p_{x_\iota,n} = p_{x_\iota}$ to 1, $(1 \leq \iota \leq N)$.

We have $\mathfrak{A}_n \subseteq \mathfrak{A}_{n+1}$ and $\mathfrak{A} = \overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \mathfrak{A}_n}$. Let

$$\mathfrak{A}'_{n,0} = \overset{r_{n,0}}{\mathfrak{A}_{n,0}} \oplus \bigoplus_{x \in L_+} \underset{\alpha_{n,x}}{\overset{r_{n,x}}{\mathbf{C}}}.$$

Then $\mathfrak{A}'_{n,0} \subseteq \mathfrak{A}'_{n+1,0}$ and (52) holds with $\mathfrak{A}_0 = \overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \mathfrak{A}'_{n,0}}$. If $L = \emptyset$ then each $\mathfrak{A}'_{n,0} = \mathfrak{A}_{n,0}$ is simple with unique tracial state and thus their inductive limit, $\mathfrak{A}_0$, is simple with unique tracial state. Suppose L is nonempty. For each $x = (x_\iota)_{\iota=1}^N \in L$ let $\pi_{x,n} : \mathfrak{A}'_{n,0} \to \mathbf{C}$ be the $*$–homomorphism sending $p_{x_\iota,n} \mapsto 1$, $(1 \leq \iota \leq N)$. Then

$$\mathfrak{A}_{n,00} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \bigcap_{x \in L} \ker \pi_{x,n}$$

is simple with unique tracial state. Clearly $\pi_{x,n+1}$ is an extension of $\pi_{x,n}$, so taking the inductive limit we get, for each $x \in L$ a $*$–homomorphism $\pi_x : \mathfrak{A}_0 \to \mathbf{C}$. When restricted to

$C(X_\iota)$, π_x gives evaluation at $x_\iota \in X_\iota$. Then $\mathfrak{A}_{00}$ is the inductive limit of the algebras $\mathfrak{A}_{n,00}$, and thus is simple with unique tracial state.

We now show that $\mathfrak{A}_{00}$ is nonunital. First consider the case when L_+ is nonempty. Then for each $x = (x_\iota)_{\iota=1}^N \in L_+$, $\alpha_{n,x}$ decreases to the limit $\sum_{\iota=1}^N (1 - \mu_\iota(\{x_\iota\}))$ but is never equal to this quantity. Suppose for contradiction that $e \in \mathfrak{A}_{00}$ is the identity of $\mathfrak{A}_{00}$. Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $a \in \mathfrak{A}_{n,00}$. Then there is $m > n$ such that $\alpha_{n,x} > \alpha_{m,x}$ and thus $r_{n,x} - r_{m,x} \in \mathfrak{A}_{m,00}$ is a nonzero projection. Since $a \in \ker \pi_{n,x}$, since $\pi_{n,x}(r_{n,x}) = 1$ and since $r_{n,x}$ is a minimal projection of $\mathfrak{A}_{n,00}$, we must have $a r_{n,x} = 0$ and hence $a(r_{n,x} - r_{m,x}) = 0$. But $e(r_{n,x} - r_{m,x}) = r_{n,x} - r_{m,x}$, so

$$1 = ||r_{n,x} - r_{m,x}|| = ||(e - a)(r_{n,x} - r_{m,x})|| \leq ||e - a||.$$

This contradicts that $e \in \overline{\bigcup_n \mathfrak{A}_{n,00}}$.

If $L_+ = \emptyset$ then L_0 is nonempty, so each $\mathfrak{A}_{n,00}$ is nonunital. But this implies that their inductive limit, $\mathfrak{A}_{00}$, is nonunital.

The same technique shows that each ideal $\bigcap_{x \in F} \ker \pi_x$ of $\mathfrak{A}_0$ is nonunital.

$\square$

Although the above proposition was stated only for free products of abelian C*–algebras, a similar result is easily proved for free products of inductive limits of the algebras of the form $A_0 \oplus \mathbf{C} \cdots \oplus \mathbf{C}$ that were considered, for example, in Proposition 4.9.

§6. Free products of infinitely many algebras.

In this section we consider the reduced free product of infinitely many finite dimensional abelian C*–algebras. Although such free products of infinitely many algebras can fail to be simple, they never get a copy of $\mathbf{C}$ as a direct summand, and hence their proper, nontrivial ideals, if any, are always nonunital. Moreover, the center of the free product algebra is always trivial, even when its von Neumann algebra closure (i.e. the strong–operator closure of the GNS representation) has projections that are both minimal and central.

Theorem 6.1. *For each $\iota \in \mathbf{N}$ let (A_ι, τ_ι) be a finite dimensional abelian algebra with faithful state as in (48). Let*

$$(\mathfrak{A}, \tau) = \mathop{*}_{\iota=1}^{\infty} (A_\iota, \tau_\iota).$$

Then $\mathfrak{A}$ has stable rank 1. Let

$$L = \left\{ \big(j(\iota)\big)_{\iota=1}^{\infty} \;\middle|\; \sum_{\iota=1}^{\infty} (1 - \alpha_{\iota, j(\iota)}) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

If L is empty then $\mathfrak{A}$ is simple and τ is the unique tracial state on $\mathfrak{A}$.

Otherwise, if L is nonempty, then for each $j = (j(\iota))_{\iota=1}^{\infty} \in L$ there is a $*$–homomorphism $\pi_j : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathbf{C}$ such that $\pi_j(p_{\iota,j(\iota)}) = 1$ for every $\iota \in \mathbf{N}$. Then

$$\mathfrak{A}_{00} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \bigcap_{j \in L} \ker \pi_j$$

is simple and nonunital. Moreover, letting

$$\gamma_0 = 1 - \sum_{j \in L} \left(\sum_{\iota=1}^{\infty} (1 - \alpha(\iota, j(\iota))) \right),$$

$\gamma_0^{-1} \tau|_{\mathfrak{A}_{00}}$ is the unique tracial state on $\mathfrak{A}_{00}$. Finally, for every nonempty subset $F \subseteq L$, the ideal $\bigcap_{j \in F} \ker \pi_j$ is nonunital.

Proof. The proof follows in a straightforward manner by using Proposition 4.8 and taking inductive limits. To show that $\mathfrak{A}_{00}$ and each of the other proper, nontrivial ideals of $\mathfrak{A}$ are nonunital, an argument like that in the proof Proposition 5.3 (in the case L_+ nonempty) is used.

□

Of course, similar results for free products of infinitely many abelian algebras of the form considered in §5 or infinitely many algebras of the form $A_0 \oplus \mathbf{C} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbf{C}$ considered in Proposition 4.9 are easily obtained.

§7. Conjectures.

This section contains a couple of related open problems which seem likely to have solutions, though I don't yet see how to find them.

Conjecture 7.1. *Let $C(X)$ and $C(Y)$ be unital, abelian C^*–algebras having faithful states given by probability measures μ_X on X and μ_Y on Y. Let*

$$(\mathfrak{A}, \tau) = (C(X), \textstyle\int \cdot \, d\mu_X) * (C(Y), \textstyle\int \cdot \, d\mu_Y).$$

Then necessary and sufficient conditions for $\mathfrak{A}$ to be simple is that for every $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$, $\mu_X(\{x\}) + \mu_Y(\{y\}) < 1$.

Proposition 7.2. *The conditions named in Conjecture 7.1 are necesary for simplicity of* $\mathfrak{A}$.

Proof. Suppose the conditions of 7.1 are not satisfied. Let $C \subseteq X$ be the set of atoms of μ_X. If C is finite then let $D = C$. If C is infinite then let $\overline{C}$ denote the closure of C in X and let D be a totally disconnected, separable, compact, Hausdorff space equipped with a continuous, surjective map $\lambda : D \to \overline{C}$. (That such a space exists is a well–known result.) For each $c \in C$, choose $f(c) \in \lambda^{-1}(D)$. Let ν_D be the measure on D given by $\nu_D(\{f(c)\}) = \mu_X(\{c\})$ and $\nu_D(D \backslash f(C)) = 0$. Replace D by the support of ν_D. Let $\nu_{X'}$ be the measure on X that when restricted to $X \backslash C$ gives the same measure as μ_X and such that $\nu_{X'}(C) = 0$ and let X' be the support of $\nu_{X'}$. Let X_a be the compact Hausdorff space that is the disjoint union of X' and D and let μ_{X_a} be the measure on X_a obtained from $\nu_{X'}$ and ν_D. Let $\kappa_X : X_a \to X$ be the surjective, continous map composed of the inclusion $X' \hookrightarrow X$ and $\lambda : D \to X$. Then μ_{X_a} is the push–forward measure, $\mu_{X_a} = (\kappa_X)_*(\mu_X)$. Therefore κ_X induces an injective, unital $*$–homomorphism, $\pi_X : C(X) \to C(X_a)$, preserving the states defined by the measures μ_{X_a} and μ_X. Do the same for Y, getting $\pi_Y : C(Y) \to C(Y_A)$.

Now Proposition 5.3 applies to the free product

$$(\mathfrak{A}', \tau') = (C(X_a), \textstyle\int \cdot \, d\mu_{X_a}) * (C(Y_a), \textstyle\int \cdot \, d\mu_{Y_a}).$$

If condition of Conjecture 7.1 is not satisfied then there are $x \in X_a$ and $y \in Y_a$ such that $\mu_{X_a}(\{x\}) + \mu_{Y_a}(\{y\}) \geq 1$, which by 5.3 implies the existence of a $*$–homomorphism $\pi : \mathfrak{A}' \to \mathbf{C}$ that when restricted to $C(X_a)$ is evaluation at x. Since τ' is faithful and κ_X and κ_Y are trace–preserving inclusions, they induce an inclusion $\mathfrak{A} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{A}'$. Then $\pi|_{\mathfrak{A}}$ is a nonzero $*$–homomorphism, so $\mathfrak{A}$ is not simple.

□

We now look at free products of finite dimensional algebras, and we use the notation of [**6**] for a faithful state on a finite dimensional algebra. Thus, if $D = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{K} M_{n_j}(\mathbf{C})$, we write

$$(D, \phi) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{K} \underset{\alpha_{j,1},\cdots,\alpha_{j,n_j}}{M_{n_j}(\mathbf{C})}$$

to mean that the restriction of ϕ to the jth summand of D is given by $\mathrm{Tr}(\cdot H)$ where Tr is the trace on $M_{n_j}(\mathbf{C})$ of norm n_j and where H is the diagonal matrix with $\alpha_{j,1}, \dots, \alpha_{j,n_j}$ down the diagonal.

Conjecture 7.3. *Let*

$$(A_1,\phi_1)=\bigoplus_{j=1}^{K_1}\underset{\alpha_{j,1},\cdots,\alpha_{j,n_j}}{M_{n_j}(\mathbf{C})}$$

$$(A_2,\phi_2)=\bigoplus_{j=1}^{K_2}\underset{\beta_{j,1},\cdots,\beta_{j,m_j}}{M_{m_j}(\mathbf{C})}$$

be finite dimensional C–algebras with faithful states and let*

$$(\mathfrak{A},\phi)=(A_1,\phi_1)*(A_2,\phi_2) \tag{54}$$

be the reduced free product C–algebra. Then necessary and sufficient conditions for* $\mathfrak{A}$ *to be simple are that if* $n_j=1$ *for some* j *then for every* $1\le k\le K_2$

$$\frac{1}{1-\alpha_{j,1}}<\sum_{i=1}^{m_k}\frac{1}{\beta_{k,i}}$$

and if $m_j=1$ *for some* j *then for every* $1\le k\le K_1$

$$\frac{1}{1-\beta_{j,1}}<\sum_{i=1}^{n_k}\frac{1}{\alpha_{k,i}}.$$

If the above conjecture is true, then in particular $\mathfrak{A}$ is simple whenever each $n_j>1$ and each $m_j>1$. This conjecture is inspired by the results of [**5**] and [**6**], which show that necessary and sufficient conditions for the von Neumann algebra free product analogous to (54) to be a factor are that if $n_j=1$ for some j then for every $1\le k\le K_2$

$$\frac{1}{1-\alpha_{j,1}}\le\sum_{i=1}^{m_k}\frac{1}{\beta_{k,i}} \tag{55}$$

and if $m_j=1$ for some j then for every $1\le k\le K_1$

$$\frac{1}{1-\beta_{j,1}}\le\sum_{i=1}^{n_k}\frac{1}{\alpha_{k,i}}. \tag{56}$$

Moreover, using this von Neumann algebra result and an argument similar to the one used in Proposition 7.2 we see that (55) and (56) are necessary conditions for the simplicity of $\mathfrak{A}$.

For some results about certain reduced free product C*–algebras with respect to non–tracial states, see [**10**].

References

1. J. Andersen, B. Blackadar and U. Haagerup, *Minimal projections in the reduced group C^*–algebra of* $\mathbf{Z}_n * \mathbf{Z}_m$, J. Operator Theory **26** (1991), 3-23.
2. D. Avitzour, *Free products of C^*–algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **271** (1982), 423-465.
3. L.G. Brown, *Stable isomorphism of hereditary subalgebras of C^*–algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **71** (1977), 335-348.
4. L.G. Brown, P. Green, M.A. Rieffel, *Stable isomorphism and strong Morita equivalence of C^*–algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **71** (1977), 349-363.
5. K.J. Dykema, *Free products of hyperfinite von Neumann algebras and free dimension*, Duke Math. J. **69** (1993), 97-119.
6. ______, *Free products of finite dimensional and other von Neumann algebras with respect to non-tracial states*, Fields Inst. Communications volume, D. Voiculescu, editor (to appear).
7. ______, *Faithfulness of free product states*, preprint (1997).
8. ______, *Free Probability Theory and Operator Algebras*, Seoul National University GARC lecture notes, in preparation.
9. K.J. Dykema, U. Haagerup, M. Rørdam, *The stable rank of some free product C^*–algebras*, Duke Math. J. (to appear).
10. K.J. Dykema, M. Rørdam, *Purely infinite simple C^*-algebras arising from free product constructions*, Can. J. Math. (to appear).
11. E. Germain, *KK–theory of reduced free product C^*–algebras*, Duke Math. J. **82** (1996), 707-723.
12. ______, *KK–theory of full free product of unital C^*–algebras*, preprint (1995).
13. R.H. Herman, L.N. Vasserstein, *The stable range of C^*–algebras*, Invent. Math. **77** (1984), 553-555.
14. W.L. Paschke, N. Salinas, *C^*–algebras associated with free products of groups*, Pacific J. Math. **82** (1979), 211-221.
15. R.T. Powers, *Simplicity of the reduced C^*–algebra associated with the free group on two generators*, Duke Math. J. **42** (1975), 151-156.
16. M.A. Rieffel, *Morita equivalence for operator algebras*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **38** (1982), 285-298.
17. ______, *Dimension and stable rank in the K–theory of C^*–algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **46** (1983), 301-333.
18. M. Rørdam, *Advances in the theory of unitary rank and regular approximation*, Ann. Math. **128** (1988), 153–172.
19. D. Voiculescu, *Symmetries of some reduced free product C^*–algebras*, Operator Algebras and Their Connections with Topology and Ergodic Theory, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Volume 1132, Springer–Verlag, 1985, pp. 556–588.
20. ______, *Multiplication of certain non–commuting random variables*, J. Operator Theory **18** (1987), 223-235.
21. D. Voiculescu, K.J. Dykema, A. Nica, *Free Random Variables*, CRM Monograph Series vol. 1, American Mathematical Society, 1992.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, ODENSE UNIVERSITET, CAMPUSVEJ 55, DK-5230 ODENSE M, DENMARK